arXiv:1610.02492v1 [math.CV] 8 Oct 2016

A SCHWARZ LEMMA FOR THE SYMMETRIZED TRIDISC AND DESCRIPTION OF INTERPOLATING FUNCTIONS

SOURAV PAL AND SAMRIDDHO ROY

Abstract. We produce a Schwarz lemma for the symmetrized tridisc

$$\mathbb{G}_3 = \{(z_1 + z_2 + z_3, z_1z_2 + z_2z_3 + z_3z_1, z_1z_2z_3) : |z_i| < 1, i = 1, 2, 3\}.$$

We show that an interpolating function related to the Schward lemma for $\mathbb{G}_3$ is not unique and present an explicit description of all such interpolating functions. We also study the complex geometry of $\mathbb{G}_3$ and present a variety of new characterizations for the open and closed symmetrized tridisc.

Contents

1. Introduction 1
2. Geometry of the open and closed symmetrized tridisc 3
3. Preparatory results and proof of Theorem 1.1 15
4. Non-uniqueness in the Schwarz lemma 23
5. The interpolating function 25
6. Appendix 32
References 43

1. Introduction

In [7], Agler and Young have produced a sharp Schwarz lemma for the symmetrized bidisc

$$\mathbb{G}_2 = \{(z_1 + z_2, z_1z_2) : |z_i| < 1, i = 1, 2\}.$$

The aim of this article to establish an analogue for the symmetrized tridisc

$$\mathbb{G}_3 = \{(z_1 + z_2 + z_3, z_1z_2 + z_2z_3 + z_3z_1, z_1z_2z_3) : |z_i| < 1, i = 1, 2, 3\}.$$

The reason behind considering the symmetrized polydisc of dimension 3 in particular is the article [33], where the first author of this paper has shown that there are remarkable differences in operator theory on the symmetrized

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 32A10, 32A17, 32A70, 32E30.

Key words and phrases. Symmetrization map, Symmetrized tridisc, Schwarz lemma, Interpolating functions.

The first author is supported by Seed Grant of IIT Bombay, CPDA and INSPIRE Faculty Award (Award No. DST/INSPIRE/04/2014/001462) of DST, India. The second author is supported by UGC Research Fellowship, Government of India.

polydisc if we move from dimension 2 to dimension 3 (e.g., rational dilation succeeds on the symmetrized bidisc [5], [14] and fails on the symmetrized tridisc [33]).

For $n \geq 2$, the symmetrization map in n-complex variables $z = (z_1, \ldots, z_n)$ is the following

$$\pi_n(z) = (s_1(z), \ldots, s_{n-1}(z), p(z)),$$

where

$$s_i(z) = \sum_{1 \leq k_1 \leq k_2 \cdots \leq k_i \leq n-1} z_{k_1} \ldots z_{k_i} \quad \text{and} \quad p(z) = \prod_{i=1}^{n} z_i .$$

The closed *symmetrized n-disk* (or simply closed *symmetrized polydisc*) is the image of the closed unit n-disc (or simply closed polydisc) $\overline{\mathbb{D}^n}$ under the symmetrization map π_n, that is, $\Gamma_n := \pi_n(\overline{\mathbb{D}^n})$. Similarly the open symmetrized polydisc $\mathbb{G}_n$ is defined as the image of the open unit polydisc $\mathbb{D}^n$ under π_n. The set Γ_n is polynomially convex but not convex (see [20, 16]). So in particular the closed symmetrized tridisc is the set

$$\Gamma_3 = \{(z_1 + z_2 + z_3, z_1z_2 + z_2z_3 + z_3z_1, z_1z_2z_3) : |z_i| \leq 1, i = 1, 2, 3\} \subseteq \mathbb{C}^3.$$

We obtain from the literature [20, 16] that the distinguished boundary of the symmetrized polydisc is the symmetrization of the distinguished boundary of the n-dimensional polydisc, which is n-torus $\mathbb{T}^n$. Hence the distinguished boundary for Γ_3 is the set

$$b\Gamma_3 = \{(z_1 + z_2 + z_3, z_1z_2 + z_2z_3 + z_3z_1, z_1z_2z_3) : |z_i| = 1, i = 1, 2, 3\}.$$

The symmetrized polydiscs in several dimensions have attracted considerable attention in past two decades because of its rich function theory [2, 10, 11, 18, 22, 23, 26, 28, 29, 30, 36, 37], complex geometry [9, 17, 20, 21, 24, 25, 27, 35], associated operator theory [5, 8, 6, 14, 15, 16, 31, 32] and its connection with the most appealing and difficult problem of μ-synthesis [3, 13, 39], which arises in the H^∞ approach to the problem of robust control [19]. Operator theory on the symmetrized bidisc has numerous applications to its complex geometry and function theory, see classic [4].

The main result of this paper is the following Schwarz lemma for the symmetrized tridisc:

Theorem 1.1. *Let $\lambda_0 \in \mathbb{D} \setminus \{0\}$ and let $x = (s_1, s_2, p) \in \mathbb{G}_3$. Then the following conditions are equivalent :*

(1) *There exists an analytic function $\psi : \mathbb{D} \Rightarrow \Gamma_3$ such that $\psi(0) = (0, 0, 0)$ and $\psi(\lambda_0) = x$;*

(1′) *There exists an analytic function $\psi : \mathbb{D} \Rightarrow \mathbb{G}_3$ such that $\psi(0) = (0, 0, 0)$ and $\psi(\lambda_0) = x$;*

(2)
$$\max\left\{\frac{|3s_1-3\bar{s}_2p|+|s_1s_2-9p|}{9-|s_2|^2},\frac{|3s_2-3\bar{s}_1p|+|s_1s_2-9p|}{9-|s_1|^2}\right\}\leq|\lambda_0|$$

(3) *Either* $|s_2|\leq|s_1|$ *and*
$$\frac{|3s_1-3\bar{s}_2p|+|s_1s_2-9p|}{9-|s_2|^2}\leq|\lambda_0|$$
or $|s_1|\leq|s_2|$ *and*
$$\frac{|3s_2-3\bar{s}_1p|+|s_1s_2-9p|}{9-|s_1|^2}\leq|\lambda_0|$$

(4) *There exists a* 2×2 *function* F *in the Schur class such that* $F(0)=\begin{bmatrix}0&*\\0&0\end{bmatrix}$ *and* $F(\lambda_0)=B=[b_{ij}]$ *where* $x=(3b_{11},3b_{22},\det B)$.

Moreover, for any analytic function
$$\psi=(\psi_1,\psi_2,\psi_3):\mathbb{D}\to\mathbb{G}_3$$
such that $\psi(0)=(0,0,0)$, *we have*
$$\max\left\{\frac{|\psi_1'(0)|}{3},\frac{|\psi_2'(0)|}{3}\right\}+|\psi_3'(0)|\leq1.$$

We recall here that the *Schur class* (of type $m\times n$) is the set of analytic functions F on $\mathbb{D}$ with values in the space $\mathbb{C}^{m\times n}$ of complex $m\times n$ matrices such that $\|F(\lambda)\|\leq1$ for all $\lambda\in\mathbb{D}$.

In Section 4, we show by an example that such an interpolating function ψ (as described in Theorem 1.1) is not unique. In Section 5, we provide an explicit description of all interpolating function. In Section 2, we present a variety of non-existing characterizations of the open and closed symmetrized tridisc. The proofs of the results of this article depend on extensive operator-theoretic machinery and the idea came from [1], where the authors have established a Schwarz lemma for the tetrablock. We refrain from showing calculations in the proofs of the main results and provide an appendix in the end to show the necessary explicit calculations in order to maintain transparency of the results.

2. Geometry of the open and closed symmetrized tridisc

We introduce two rational functions Φ and Θ of four variables which will play central role in the study of complex geometry of the open and closed symmetrized tridisc. Also in the main result, Theorem 1.1, the existence of an interpolating function is characterized by the H^∞ norm of these two functions.

Definition 2.1. *For $z \in \mathbb{C}$ and $x = (s_1, s_2, p) \in \mathbb{C}^3$ let us define*

$$\Phi(z,x) = \begin{cases} \dfrac{3pz - s_1}{s_2 z - 3} & \text{if } s_2 z \neq 3 \ \& \ 9p \neq s_1 s_2 \\ \dfrac{s_1}{3} & \text{if } 9p = s_1 s_2, \end{cases} \tag{1}$$

and

$$\Theta(z,x) = \begin{cases} \dfrac{3pz - s_2}{s_1 z - 3} & \text{if } s_1 z \neq 3 \ \& \ 9p \neq s_1 s_2 \\ \dfrac{s_2}{3} & \text{if } 9p = s_1 s_2, \end{cases} \tag{2}$$

and

$$D(x) = \sup_{z \in \mathbb{D}} |\Phi(z,x)| = \|\Phi(.,x)\|_{H^\infty}, \tag{3}$$

where H^∞ denotes the Banach space of bounded complex-valued analytic functions on $\mathbb{D}$ with supremum norm.

Note that $D(x)$ is finite (and $\Phi(.,x) \in H^\infty$) if and only if either $|s_2| < 3$, or $9p = s_1 s_2$, or both. Since $\Phi(.,x)$ is a fractional linear transformation, $\Phi(\mathbb{T}, x)$ will be circle with center and radius

$$\frac{3s_1 - 3\bar{s}_2 p}{9 - |s_2|^2} \quad \text{and} \quad \frac{|s_1 s_2 - 9p|}{9 - |s_2|^2}$$

respectively. Note that if $|s_2| < 3$ then $\Phi(\mathbb{D}, x)$ is bounded. Again due to maximum modulus principle $\Phi(\mathbb{D}, x)$ must be inside the circle $\Phi(\mathbb{T}, x)$. Now by continuty of the function $\Phi(.,x)$, $\Phi(\mathbb{D}, x)$ is the open disc with boundary $\Phi(\mathbb{T}, x)$. Hence for $|s_2| < 3$, the function $\Phi(.,x)$ maps $\mathbb{D}$ to the open disc with center and radius

$$\frac{3s_1 - 3\bar{s}_2 p}{9 - |s_2|^2} \quad \text{and} \quad \frac{|s_1 s_2 - 9p|}{9 - |s_2|^2} \tag{4}$$

respectively. Hence

$$D(x) = \begin{cases} \dfrac{|3s_1 - 3\bar{s}_2 p| + |s_1 s_2 - 9p|}{9 - |s_2|^2} & \text{if } |s_2| < 3 \ \& \ s_1 s_2 \neq 9p \\ \dfrac{|s_1|}{3} & \text{if } s_1 s_2 = 9p \\ \infty & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases} \tag{5}$$

Similarly, if $|s_1| < 3$, the rational function $\Theta(.,x)$ maps $\mathbb{D}$ to the open disc

with center and radius

$$\frac{3s_2 - 3\bar{s}_1 p}{9 - |s_1|^2} \quad \text{and} \quad \frac{|s_1 s_2 - 9p|}{9 - |s_1|^2}$$

respectively.

The following theorem provides a set of characterizations of the points in the open symmetrized tridisc $\mathbb{G}_3$.

Theorem 2.2. *For $x = (s_1, s_2, p) \in \mathbb{C}^3$ the following are equivalent :*

(1) $x \in \mathbb{G}_3$;
(2) $3 - s_1 z - s_2 w + 3pzw \neq 0,\ \forall\, z, w \in \overline{\mathbb{D}}$;
(3) $\|\Phi(., x)\|_{H^\infty} < 1$ *and if* $s_1 s_2 = 9p$ *then in addition* $|s_2| < 3$;
(3′) $\|\Theta(., x)\|_{H^\infty} < 1$ *and if* $s_1 s_2 = 9p$ *then in addition* $|s_1| < 3$;
(4) $|3s_1 - 3\bar{s}_2 p| + |s_1 s_2 - 9p| < 9 - |s_2|^2$;
(4′) $|3s_2 - 3\bar{s}_1 p| + |s_1 s_2 - 9p| < 9 - |s_1|^2$;
(5) $|s_1|^2 - |s_2|^2 + 9|p|^2 + 6|s_2 - \bar{s}_1 p| < 9$ *and* $|s_2| < 3$;
(5′) $-|s_1|^2 + |s_2|^2 + 9|p|^2 + 6|s_1 - \bar{s}_2 p| < 9$ *and* $|s_1| < 3$;
(6) $|s_1|^2 + |s_2|^2 - 9|p|^2 + 2|s_1 s_2 - 9p| < 9$ *and* $|p| < 1$;
(7) $|s_1 - \bar{s}_2 p| + |s_2 - \bar{s}_1 p| < 3 - 3|p|^2$;
(8) *there exist a* 2×2 *matrix* $B = [b_{ij}]$ *such that* $\|B\| < 1$ *and* $x = (3b_{11}, 3b_{22}, \det B)$;
(9) *there exist a* 2×2 *symmetric matrix* $B = [b_{ij}]$ *such that* $\|B\| < 1$ *and* $x = (3b_{11}, 3b_{22}, \det B)$;
(10) $|p| < 1$ *and there exist* $\beta_1, \beta_2 \in \mathbb{C}$ *such that* $|\beta_1| + |\beta_2| < 3$ *and*

$$s_1 = \beta_1 + \bar{\beta}_2 p, \quad s_2 = \beta_2 + \bar{\beta}_1 p.$$

Proof. (1) $\Leftrightarrow$ (10) was proved by Costara (Theorem 3.6, [18]) and also independently by Gorai and Sarkar (Theorem 3.2, [21]). We shall divide the proof of the other implications into two parts.

First we consider the case $s_1 s_2 = 9p$: then the parts (2) $-$ (6), (8) and (9) are equivalent to the pair of statements $|s_1| < 3, |s_2| < 3$. The reason is explained below for each individual part.

(2)

$$\begin{aligned}
&3 - s_1 z - s_2 w + 3pzw \neq 0 \qquad \forall\, |z| \leq 1, |w| \leq 1 \\
&\Leftrightarrow 3 - s_1 z - s_2 w + \frac{s_1 s_2}{3} zw \neq 0 \qquad \forall\, |z| \leq 1, |w| \leq 1 \\
&\Leftrightarrow 1 - \frac{s_1}{3} z - \frac{s_2}{3} w + \frac{s_1 s_2}{9} zw \neq 0 \qquad \forall\, |z| \leq 1, |w| \leq 1 \\
&\Leftrightarrow (1 - \frac{s_1}{3} z)(1 - \frac{s_2}{3} w) \neq 0 \qquad \forall\, |z| \leq 1, |w| \leq 1 \\
&\Leftrightarrow |\frac{s_1}{3}| < 1 \text{ and } |\frac{s_2}{3}| < 1 \\
&\Leftrightarrow |s_1| < 3 \text{ and } |s_2| < 3.
\end{aligned}$$

Otherwise we can find some z and w with $z, w \in \overline{\mathbb{D}}$ such that the left hand side of the last inequation will be 0 (we can take $z = \frac{3}{s_1}$, $w = \frac{3}{s_2}$).

(3) $\|\Phi(.,x)\|_{H^\infty} < 1 \Rightarrow |s_1| < 3$ and in addition (since $s_1 s_2 = 9p$) $|s_2| < 3$ by hypothesis. Also $|s_1| < 3$ implies that $\frac{|s_1|}{3} = \|\Phi(.,x)\|_{H^\infty} < 1$.

(3′) $\|\Theta(.,x)\|_{H^\infty} < 1 \Rightarrow |s_2| < 3$ and in addition (since $s_1 s_2 = 9p$) $|s_1| < 3$ by hypothesis. Also $|s_2| < 3$ implies that $\frac{|s_2|}{3} = \|\Theta(.,x)\|_{H^\infty} < 1$.

(4)

$$
\begin{aligned}
&|3s_1 - 3\bar{s}_2 p| + |s_1 s_2 - 9p| < 9 - |s_2|^2 \\
&\Leftrightarrow \left|3s_1 - \frac{\bar{s}_2 s_2 s_1}{3}\right| < 9 - |s_2|^2 \\
&\Leftrightarrow 0 \leq \frac{1}{3}|s_1||9 - |s_2|^2| < 9 - |s_2|^2 \\
&\Leftrightarrow 0 < 9 - |s_2|^2 \text{ and } \frac{1}{3}|s_1||9 - |s_2|^2| < 9 - |s_2|^2 \\
&\Leftrightarrow |s_2|^2 < 9 \text{ and } \frac{1}{3}|s_1| < 1 \\
&\Leftrightarrow |s_2| < 3 \text{ and } |s_1| < 3.
\end{aligned}
$$

(4′)

$$
\begin{aligned}
&|3s_2 - 3\bar{s}_1 p| + |s_1 s_2 - 9p| < 9 - |s_1|^2 \\
&\Leftrightarrow \left|3s_2 - \frac{\bar{s}_1 s_2 s_1}{3}\right| < 9 - |s_1|^2 \\
&\Leftrightarrow 0 \leq \frac{1}{3}|s_2||9 - |s_1|^2| < 9 - |s_1|^2 \\
&\Leftrightarrow 0 < 9 - |s_1|^2 \text{ and } \frac{1}{3}|s_2||9 - |s_1|^2| < 9 - |s_1|^2 \\
&\Leftrightarrow |s_1|^2 < 9 \text{ and } \frac{1}{3}|s_2| < 1 \\
&\Leftrightarrow |s_1| < 3 \text{ and } |s_2| < 3.
\end{aligned}
$$

(5)

$$
\begin{aligned}
&|s_1|^2 - |s_2|^2 + 9|p|^2 + 6|s_2 - \bar{s}_1 p| < 9 \text{ and } |s_2| < 3 \\
&\Leftrightarrow |s_1|^2 - |s_2|^2 + \frac{1}{9}|s_1|^2|s_2|^2 + 6|s_2 - \frac{1}{9}\bar{s}_1 s_1 s_2| < 9 \text{ and } |s_2| < 3 \\
&\Leftrightarrow -9 + |s_1|^2 - |s_2|^2(1 - \frac{1}{9}|s_1|^2) + 6|s_2||1 - \frac{1}{9}|s_1|^2| < 0 \text{ and } |s_2| < 3 \\
&\Leftrightarrow -9(1 - \frac{1}{9}|s_1|^2) - |s_2|^2(1 - \frac{1}{9}|s_1|^2) + 6|s_2||1 - \frac{1}{9}|s_1|^2| < 0 \text{ and } |s_2| < 3 \\
&\Leftrightarrow -(9 + |s_2|^2)(1 - \frac{1}{9}|s_1|^2) + 6|s_2||1 - \frac{1}{9}|s_1|^2| < 0 \text{ and } |s_2| < 3 \\
&\Rightarrow |s_1|^2 < 9 \text{ and } |s_2| < 3 \\
&\Rightarrow |s_1| < 3 \text{ and } |s_2| < 3.
\end{aligned}
$$

Otherwise $(1 - \frac{1}{9}|s_1|^2)$ will be negetive and then left side of the last inequality above will be positive or 0.

$$
\begin{aligned}
&|s_1| < 3 \text{ and } |s_2| < 3 \\
&\Rightarrow |s_1|^2 < 9 \text{ and } |s_2| < 3 \\
&\Rightarrow (1 - \frac{1}{9}|s_1|^2)(-9 - |s_2|^2 + 6|s_2|) = -(1 - \frac{1}{9}|s_1|^2)(3 - |s_2|)^2 < 0 \text{ and } |s_2| < 3 \\
&\Rightarrow -(9 + |s_2|^2)(1 - \frac{1}{9}|s_1|^2) + 6|s_2||1 - \frac{1}{9}|s_1|^2| < 0 \text{ and } |s_2| < 3 \\
&\Leftrightarrow |s_1|^2 - |s_2|^2 + 9|p|^2 + 6|s_2 - \bar{s}_1 p| < 9 \text{ and } |s_2| < 3.
\end{aligned}
$$

(5′) similar to (4).

(6)

$$
\begin{aligned}
&|s_1|^2 + |s_2|^2 - 9|p|^2 + 2|s_1 s_2 - 9p| < 9 \text{ and } |p| < 1 \\
&\Leftrightarrow |s_1|^2 + |s_2|^2 - \frac{1}{9}|s_1^2||s_2|^2 < 9 \text{ and } |p| < 1 \\
&\Leftrightarrow -9 + |s_1|^2 + |s_2|^2(1 - \frac{1}{9}|s_1|^2) < 0 \text{ and } |s_1 s_2| < 9 \\
&\Leftrightarrow -9(1 - \frac{1}{9}|s_1|^2) + |s_2|^2(1 - \frac{1}{9}|s_1|^2) < 0 \text{ and } |s_1||s_2| < 9 \\
&\Leftrightarrow -9(1 - \frac{1}{9}|s_2|^2)(1 - \frac{1}{9}|s_1|^2) < 0 \text{ and } |s_1||s_2| < 9 \\
&\Rightarrow \begin{cases} \text{either} & |s_1|^2 < 9 \text{ and } |s_2|^2 < 9 \text{ and } |s_1||s_2| < 9 \\ \text{or} & |s_1|^2 > 9 \text{ and } |s_2|^2 > 9 \text{ and } |s_1||s_2| < 9 \end{cases} \\
&\Rightarrow \begin{cases} \text{either} & |s_1| < 3 \text{ and } |s_2| < 3 \text{ and } |s_1||s_2| < 9 \\ \text{or} & |s_1| > 3 \text{ and } |s_2| > 3 \text{ and } |s_1||s_2| < 9 \end{cases} \\
&\Rightarrow |s_1| < 3 \text{ and } |s_2| < 3
\end{aligned}
$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}&|s_1|<3 \text{ and } |s_2|<3\\ &\Rightarrow -9(1-\frac{1}{9}|s_2|^2)(1-\frac{1}{9}|s_1|^2)<0 \text{ and } |s_1||s_2|<9.\\ &\Leftrightarrow |s_1|^2+|s_2|^2-9|p|^2+2|s_1s_2-9p|<9 \text{ and } |p|<1.\end{aligned}$$

(8)

$$\begin{aligned}&s_1s_2=9p \;\Rightarrow\; b_{12}b_{21}=0 \Rightarrow\; b_{12}=0 \textit{ or } b_{21}=0\\ &\Rightarrow B=\begin{bmatrix}\frac{s_1}{3} & *\\ 0 & \frac{s_2}{3}\end{bmatrix} \quad \text{or} \quad B=\begin{bmatrix}\frac{s_1}{3} & 0\\ * & \frac{s_2}{3}\end{bmatrix}.\end{aligned}$$

Then $\|B\|<1$ if and only if $|s_1|<3$ and $|s_2|<3$ (By calculating eigenvalues of B^*B, we note that, both of them are greater than both $\frac{|s_1|^2}{9}$ and $\frac{|s_1|^2}{9}$.).

(9)

$$\begin{aligned}&s_1s_2=9p \;\Rightarrow\; b_{12}b_{21}=0 \Rightarrow\; b_{12}=0 \textit{ or } b_{21}=0\\ &\Rightarrow B=\begin{bmatrix}\frac{s_1}{3} & 0\\ 0 & \frac{s_2}{3}\end{bmatrix},\end{aligned}$$

then $\|B\|<1$ if and only if $|s_1|<3$ and $|s_2|<3$.

So, in case of $s_1s_2=9p$, conditions $(2)-(6)$ and $(8),(9)$ are equivalent.

Now consider the case $s_1s_2\neq 9p$. It is clear that (s_1,s_2,p) satisfies (2) if and only if (s_2,s_1,p) satisfies (2). So if we can show (2) $\Leftrightarrow$ (3) then (2) $\Leftrightarrow$ (3′) will follow due to the symmetry

$$\Theta(.,s_1,s_2,p)=\Phi(.,s_2,s_1,p).$$

Similarly, if we show (2) $\Leftrightarrow$ (4) and (2) $\Leftrightarrow$ (5) then (2) $\Leftrightarrow$ (4′) and (2) $\Leftrightarrow$ (5′) will follow.

We shall prove :

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} (2) & \Leftrightarrow & (3) & \Leftrightarrow & (4) & & \\ & & \Updownarrow & & & & \\ & & (5) & & & & \end{array} \qquad \text{and} \qquad \begin{array}{ccccc} (2) & \Leftrightarrow & (6) & \Leftarrow & (8) \\ & & \Downarrow & \nearrow & \\ & & (9) & & \end{array}$$

and they suffice for the equivalence of (2), (3), (3′), (4), (4′), (5), (5′), (6), (8), (9).

(2) $\Leftrightarrow$ (3): Part (2) is equivalent to

$$1-\frac{1}{3}s_1z-\frac{1}{3}s_2z+pzw\neq 0 \quad \text{for all } z,w\in\overline{\mathbb{D}},$$

which is equivalent to

$$z(\frac{1}{3}s_1 - pw) \neq 1 - \frac{1}{3}s_2 w \quad \text{for all } z, w \in \overline{\mathbb{D}},$$

which is same as saying that

$$|s_2| < 3 \text{ and } 1 \notin z\Phi(\overline{\mathbb{D}}, x) \quad \text{for all } z \in \overline{\mathbb{D}}.$$

($|s_2| \geq 3 \Rightarrow \exists$ some $w \in \overline{\mathbb{D}}$ such that $1 - \frac{1}{3}s_2 w = 0$, then for that particular $w \in \Delta$ and for $0 = z \in \overline{\mathbb{D}}$ the last inequation does not hold.) Hence (2) holds if and only if $\Phi(\overline{\mathbb{D}}, x)$ does not intersect with the complement of $\mathbb{D}$. Now $\Phi(\overline{\mathbb{D}}, x) \cap \mathbb{D}^c = \phi$ if and only if (3) holds. Thus (2) holds if and only if (3) holds.

(3) $\Leftrightarrow$ (4): By equation (5) we have ,

$$\|\Phi(., x)\|_{H^\infty} = D(x) = \frac{|3s_1 - 3\bar{s}_2 p| + |s_1 s_2 - 9p|}{9 - |s_2|^2}.$$

From this equality, we immediately have equivalence of (3) and (4) .

(3) $\Leftrightarrow$ (5): Since $9p \neq s_1 s_2$ we have $\|\Phi(., x)\|_{H^\infty} < 1 \Rightarrow |s_2| < 3$. Thus by the Maximum Modulus Principle, we find that, (3) holds if and only if $|s_2| < 3$ and

$$|3pz - s_1|^2 < |s_1 z - 3|^2 \quad \text{for all } z \in \mathbb{T}.$$

Now,

$$\begin{aligned}
&(3pz - s_1)(3p\bar{z} - \bar{s}_1) < (s_2 z - 3)(\bar{s}_2 \bar{z} - 3) \quad \text{for all } z \in \mathbb{T} \\
&\Leftrightarrow 9|pz|^2 + |s_1|^2 - 3s_1 p\bar{z} - 3\bar{s}_1 pz < |s_2 z|^2 + 9 - 3s_2 z - 3\bar{s}_2 \bar{z} \quad \text{for all } z \in \mathbb{T} \\
&\Leftrightarrow |s_1|^2 - |s_2|^2 + 9|p|^2 + 3z(s_2 - \bar{s}_1 p) + 3\bar{z}(\bar{s}_2 - s_1 p) < 9 \quad \text{for all } z \in \mathbb{T} \\
&\Leftrightarrow |s_1|^2 - |s_2|^2 + 9|p|^2 + 6\,\mathrm{Re}(z(s_2 - \bar{s}_1 p)) < 9 \quad \text{for all } z \in \mathbb{T} \\
&\Leftrightarrow |s_1|^2 - |s_2|^2 + 9|p|^2 + 6|s_2 - \bar{s}_1 p| < 9.
\end{aligned}$$

(since $\mathrm{Re}(zx) < k$ for all $z \in \mathbb{T} \Leftrightarrow |x| < k$.)
Hence (3) $\Leftrightarrow$ (5).

(2) $\Leftrightarrow$ (6) : Note that no change occurs in the left hand side of (5′) if we replace s_2 and p by $3\bar{p}$ and $\frac{1}{3}\bar{s}_2$ respectively. Since (2) $\Leftrightarrow$ (5′) holds, we have $(s_1, s_2, p) \in \mathbb{G}_3 \Leftrightarrow (s_1, 3\bar{p}, \frac{1}{3}\bar{s}_2) \in \mathbb{G}_3$. Then using (2) $\Leftrightarrow$ (5), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
(s_1, s_2, p) \in \mathbb{G}_3 &\Leftrightarrow (s_1, 3\bar{p}, \frac{1}{3}\bar{s}_2) \in \mathbb{G}_3 \\
&\Leftrightarrow |s_1|^2 + |s_2|^2 - 9|p|^2 + 6|3\bar{p} - \frac{1}{3}\bar{s}_1\bar{s}_2| < 9 \text{ and } |3p| < 3 \\
&\Leftrightarrow |s_1|^2 + |s_2|^2 - 9|p|^2 + 2|s_1 s_2 - 9p| < 9 \text{ and } |p| < 1.
\end{aligned}$$

Hence (2) $\Leftrightarrow$ (6).

To complete the rest of the proof we need the following lemma. We shall get back to the proof again after the lemma.

Lemma 2.3. *If*

$$B = \begin{bmatrix} s_1/3 & b \\ c & s_2/3 \end{bmatrix}$$

where $bc = \dfrac{1}{9}s_1s_2 - p$ *then* $\det B = p$,

$$1 - B^*B = \begin{bmatrix} 1 - \dfrac{|s_1|^2}{9} - |c|^2 & -b\dfrac{\overline{s}_1}{3} - c\dfrac{\overline{s}_2}{3} \\ -\overline{b}\dfrac{s_1}{3} - \overline{c}\dfrac{s_2}{3} & 1 - \dfrac{|s_2|^2}{9} - |b|^2 \end{bmatrix} \tag{6}$$

and

$$\det(1 - B^*B) = 1 - \frac{|s_1|^2}{9} - \frac{|s_2|^2}{9} + |p|^2 - |b|^2 - |c|^2. \tag{7}$$

Proof. Clearly $\det B = \frac{S_1S_2}{9} - bc = p$ and

$$1-B^*B = 1-\begin{bmatrix} \bar{s}_1/3 & \bar{c} \\ \bar{b} & \bar{s}_2/3 \end{bmatrix}\begin{bmatrix} s_1/3 & b \\ c & s_2/3 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 - \dfrac{|s_1|^2}{9} - |c|^2 & -b\dfrac{\overline{s}_1}{3} - c\dfrac{\overline{s}_2}{3} \\ -\overline{b}\dfrac{s_1}{3} - \overline{c}\dfrac{s_2}{3} & 1 - \dfrac{|s_2|^2}{9} - |b|^2 \end{bmatrix}.$$

Now

$$\begin{aligned}
&\det(1-B^*B)\\
&= 1-\frac{|s_1|^2}{9}-|c^2|-\frac{|s_2|^2}{9}+\frac{|s_1s_2|^2}{81}+\frac{|cs_2|^2}{9}-|b|^2+\frac{|bs_1|^2}{9}+|bc|^2\\
&\quad-\frac{|bs_1|^2}{9}-\frac{|cs_1|^2}{9}-\frac{1}{9}\bar{b}\bar{c}s_1s_2-\frac{1}{9}bc\bar{s}_1\bar{s}_2\\
&= 1-\frac{|s_1|^2}{9}-\frac{|s_2|^2}{9}-|c|^2-|b|^2+\frac{|s_1s_2|^2}{81}+|bc|^2-\frac{1}{9}\bar{b}\bar{c}s_1s_2-\frac{1}{9}bc\bar{s}_1\bar{s}_2\\
&= 1-\frac{|s_1|^2}{9}-\frac{|s_2|^2}{9}-|c|^2-|b|^2+\frac{|s_1s_2|^2}{81}+\Big(\frac{1}{9}s_1s_2-p\Big)\Big(\frac{1}{9}\bar{s}_1\bar{s}_2-\bar{p}\Big)\\
&\quad-\frac{1}{9}s_1s_2(\bar{s}_1\bar{s}_2-\bar{p})-\frac{1}{9}\bar{s}_1\bar{s}_2\Big(\frac{1}{9}s_1s_2-p\Big)\\
&= 1-\frac{|s_1|^2}{9}-\frac{|s_2|^2}{9}-|c|^2-|b|^2+\frac{|s_1s_2|^2}{81}-\frac{1}{9}s_1s_2(\bar{s}_1\bar{s}_2-\bar{p})\\
&\quad+\Big(\frac{1}{9}s_1s_2-p\Big)(-\bar{p})\\
&= 1-\frac{|s_1|^2}{9}-\frac{|s_2|^2}{9}-|c|^2-|b|^2+\frac{1}{9}s_1s_2\Big(\frac{1}{9}\bar{s}_1\bar{s}_2-\frac{1}{9}\bar{s}_1\bar{s}_2+\bar{p}\Big)\\
&\quad+\Big(\frac{1}{9}s_1s_2\Big)(-\bar{p})+|p|^2\\
&= 1-\frac{|s_1|^2}{9}-\frac{|s_2|^2}{9}-|b|^2-|c|^2+|p|^2.
\end{aligned}$$

■

Let us get back to the proof of the Theorem 2.2.

(6) ⇒ (9): Suppose (6) holds. Now choose k to be the square root(any) of $(\frac{1}{9}s_1s_2-p)$ then $k^2=(\frac{1}{9}s_1s_2-p)$. Let

$$B=\begin{bmatrix} s_1/3 & k\\ k & s_2/3\end{bmatrix};$$

since (6) ⇔ (2) ⇔ (4′), by (6), the diagonal entries of $1-B^*B$ are positive. Again, using (6), by (7),

$$\det(1-B^*B)=1-\frac{|s_1|^2}{9}-\frac{|s_2|^2}{9}+|p|^2-2\Big|\frac{s_1s_2}{9}-p\Big|>0$$

Thus $\|A\|<1$ and we have $x=(s_1,s_2,p)=(3b_{11},3b_{22},\det B)$. Hence (6) ⇒ (9).

(9) ⇒ (8) is obvious.

(8) ⇒ (6): Suppose (8) holds. Since

$$(|b_{12}|-|b_{21}|)^2\geq 0\Rightarrow |b_{12}|^2+|b_{21}|^2\geq 2|b_{12}b_{21}|=2\Big|\frac{s_1s_2}{9}-p\Big|,$$

we have with the help of $\|B\| < 1$ that

$$1 - \frac{|s_1|^2}{9} - \frac{|s_2|^2}{9} + |p|^2 - 2\Big|\frac{s_1 s_2}{9} - p\Big| \geq 1 - \frac{|s_1|^2}{9} - \frac{|s_2|^2}{9} + |p|^2 - |b_{12}|^2 - |b_{21}|^2$$
$$= \det(1 - B^*B) > 0.$$

Thus

$$|s_1|^2 + |s_2|^2 - 9|p|^2 + 2|s_1 s_2 - 9p| < 9$$

i.e (6) holds. Hence (8) $\Rightarrow$ (6).

Hence, $(2), (3), (3'), (4), (4'), (5), (5'), (6), (8), (9)$ are equivalent.

At this point it is evident that for the equivalence of $(2) - (10)$ we need to show the following:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} (2) & \Leftarrow & (10) \\ \Downarrow & \nearrow & \\ (7) & & \end{array}$$

Now we are not with the hypothesis $s_1 s_2 \neq 9p$.

(2) $\Rightarrow$ (7): Suppose (2) holds then (5) and (5′) hold true. By adding these two inequalities we get

$$6|s_1 - \overline{s}_2 p| + 6|s_2 - \overline{s}_1 p| < 18 - 18|p|^2$$
$$\Rightarrow |s_1 - \overline{s}_2 p| + |s_2 - \overline{s}_1 p| < 3 - 3|p|^2$$

Hence (2) $\Rightarrow$ (7).

(7) $\Rightarrow$ (10): Suppose (7) holds, then $|p| < 1$, otherwise, L.H.S of (7) will be strictly negetive, which is not possible. Let

$$\beta_1 = \frac{s_1 - \overline{s}_2 p}{1 - |p|^2}, \quad \beta_2 = \frac{s_2 - \overline{s}_1 p}{1 - |p|^2}. \tag{8}$$

Then

$$\beta_1 + \overline{\beta}_2 p = s_1, \quad \beta_2 + \overline{\beta}_1 p = s_2.$$

and also we have, by (7), $|\beta_1| + |\beta_2| < 3$. Thus (10) holds. Hence (7) $\Rightarrow$ (10).

(10) $\Rightarrow$ (2): Suppose (10) holds. Thus $|p| < 1$, so we have

$$|s_2| = |\beta_2 + \overline{\beta}_1 p| \leq |\beta_1| + |\beta_2| < 3$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} |s_1|^2 - |s_2|^2 &= (\beta_1 + \overline{\beta}_2 p)(\overline{\beta}_1 + \beta_2 p) - (\beta_2 + \overline{\beta}_1 p)(\overline{\beta}_2 + \beta_1 p) \\ &= |\beta_1|^2 + |\beta_2 p|^2 - |\beta_2|^2 - |\beta_1 p|^2 \\ &= (|\beta_1|^2 - |\beta_2|^2)(1 - |p|^2) \\ &\leq 3(|\beta_1| - |\beta_2|)(1 - |p|^2). \end{aligned}$$

Also

$$\begin{aligned} s_2 - \overline{s}_1 p &= \beta_2 + \overline{\beta}_1 p - (\overline{\beta}_1 + \beta_2 p)p \\ &= \beta_2 - \beta_2 |p|^2 = \beta_2(1 - |p|^2) \end{aligned}$$

Hence,

$$\begin{aligned} |s_1|^2 - |s_2|^2 + 6|s_2 - \overline{s}_1 p| \leq\ & 3(|\beta_1| - |\beta_2| + 2|\beta_2|)(1 - |p|^2) \\ &= 9(1 - |p|^2). \end{aligned}$$

that is, $|s_1|^2 - |s_2|^2 + 9|p|^2 + 6|s_2 - \bar{s}_1 p| < 9$. Thus (5) holds and so does (2). Thus (10) $\Rightarrow$ (2)and consequently we have the equivalence of all of (2) – (10). The proof is now complete.

■

We have the following analogous characterizations for the closed symmetrized tridisc Γ_3.

Theorem 2.4. *For $x = (s_1, s_2, p) \in \mathbb{C}^3$ the following are equivalent*

(1) $x \in \Gamma_3$;
(2) $3 - s_1 z - s_2 w + 3pzw \neq 0,\ \forall\, z, w \in \mathbb{D}$;
(3) $\|\Phi(., x)\|_{H^\infty} \leq 1$ *and if* $s_1 s_2 = 9p$ *then in addition* $|s_2| \leq 3$;
(3′) $\|\Theta(., x)\|_{H^\infty} \leq 1$ *and if* $s_1 s_2 = 9p$ *then in addition* $|s_1| \leq 3$;
(4) $|3s_1 - 3\bar{s}_2 p| + |s_1 s_2 - 9p| \leq 9 - |s_2|^2$ *and if* $s_1 s_2 = 9p$ *then in addition* $|s_1| \leq 3$;
(4′) $|3s_2 - 3\bar{s}_1 p| + |s_1 s_2 - 9p| < 9 - |s_1|^2$ *and if* $s_1 s_2 = 9p$ *then in addition* $|s_2| \leq 3$;
(5) $|s_1|^2 - |s_2|^2 + 9|p|^2 + 6|s_2 - \bar{s}_1 p| \leq 9$ *and* $|s_2| \leq 3$;
(5′) $-|s_1|^2 + |s_2|^2 + 9|p|^2 + 6|s_1 - \bar{s}_2 p| \leq 9$ *and* $|s_1| \leq 3$;
(6) $|s_1|^2 + |s_2|^2 - 9|p|^2 + 2|s_1 s_2 - 9p| \leq 9$ *and* $|p| \leq 1$;
(7) $|s_1 - \bar{s}_2 p| + |s_2 - \bar{s}_1 p| \leq 3 - 3|p|^2$ *and if* $|p| = 1$ *then, in addition,* $|s_1| \leq 3$;
(8) *there exist a* 2×2 *matrix* $B = [b_{ij}]$ *such that* $\|B\| \leq 1$ *and* $x = (3b_{11}, 3b_{22}, \det B)$;
(9) *there exist a* 2×2 *symmetric matrix* $B = [b_{ij}]$ *such that* $\|B\| \leq 1$ *and* $x = (3b_{11}, 3b_{22}, \det B)$;
(10) $|p| \leq 1$ *and there exist* $\beta_1, \beta_2 \in \mathbb{C}$ *such that* $|\beta_1| + |\beta_2| \leq 3$ *and*

$$s_1 = \beta_1 + \bar{\beta}_2 p, \quad s_2 = \beta_2 + \bar{\beta}_1 p.$$

Proof. The equivalence of (1) and (10) has been established by Costara (Theorem 3.7 , [18]) and also independently by the author (Theorem 3.4, [33]). We shall prove (1) $\Leftrightarrow$ (2). The proof of the equivalence of the other parts are similar to the those in Theorem 2.2 and we skip it.

(1) $\Leftrightarrow$ (2) : Suppose (1) holds for a $x = (s_1, s_2, p) \in \mathbb{C}^3$. Consider $\zeta, \eta \in \overline{\mathbb{D}}$, then for any $r \in (0, 1)$ we have $r\zeta, r\eta \in \mathbb{D}$ and so

$$3 - s_1 r\zeta - s_2 r\eta + 3pr^2\zeta\eta \neq 0.$$

Hence, by theorem (2.2), $(rs_1, rs_2, r^2p) \in \mathbb{G}_3$ for all $r \in (0, 1)$. Therefore, $x = (s_1, s_2, p) \in \overline{\mathbb{G}_3} = \Gamma_3$.

Conversely, suppose that $x \in \Gamma_3$, but (1) doesn't hold. Then

$$\begin{aligned} &3 - s_1 z - s_2 w + 3pzw = 0, \quad \text{for some } z, w \in \mathbb{D} \\ &\Rightarrow z(3pw - s_1) = s_2 w - 3 \quad \text{for some } z, w \in \mathbb{D} \\ &\Rightarrow z\Phi(w, x) = 1 \quad \text{for some } z, w \in \mathbb{D} \end{aligned}$$

and so $|\Phi(w, x)| > 1$ for some $w \in \mathbb{D}$. However, by Theorem 2.2, $|\Phi(w, \zeta)| < 1$ whenever $w \in \mathbb{D}$ and $\zeta \in \mathbb{G}_3$. Since $x \in \Gamma_3 = \overline{\mathbb{G}_3}$, so $|\Phi(w, x)| \leq 1$ for any $w \in \mathbb{D}$, a contradiction. Hence (1) holds.

■

Remark 2.5. *In the proof of Theorem 2.2, we came across the following symmetry of* $\mathbb{G}_3$*, that* $x = (s_1, s_2, p) \in \mathbb{G}_3$ *if and only if* $\tilde{x} = (s_1, 3\bar{p}, \frac{1}{3}\bar{s}_2) \in \mathbb{G}_3$*. Similarly* $x \in \Gamma_3$ *if and only if* $\tilde{x} \in \Gamma_3$*. Now if we calculate the centre and radius of the disc* $\Phi(\mathbb{D}, \tilde{x})$ *using* (4)*, we find, by* (8)*, that the disc* $\Phi(\mathbb{D}, \tilde{x})$ *has centre* β_1 *and radius* $|\beta_2|$*.*

Define a mapping π as follows

$$\pi \ : \ M_2(\mathbb{C}) \longrightarrow \mathbb{C}^3 \ : \ B = [b_{ij}] \longmapsto (3b_{11}, 3b_{22}, \det B). \tag{9}$$

Then condition (8) and (9) of Theorem (2.2) tell us that

$$\pi(R_I(2, 2)) = \mathbb{G}_3 = \pi(R_{II}(2)),$$

where $R_I(2, 2)$ and $R_{II}(2)$ are the two standard Cartan domains, namely the open unit ball of the space of 2×2 complex matrices and the open unit ball of the space of 2×2 symmetric complex matrices respectively.

Theorem 2.6. $\mathbb{G}_3$ *and* Γ_3 *are starlike about* $(0, 0, 0)$ *but are not circled.*

Proof. Let $x \in \Gamma_3$ and let $0 \leq r < 1$, to prove $\mathbb{G}_3$ and Γ_3 are starlike, we will show that $rx \in \mathbb{G}_3$ for all such x and r. First note that, for any $x \in \mathbb{C}^3$,

$z \in \mathbb{C}$ and $r > 0$ we have :

$$\begin{aligned}
&|3 - rzx_2|^2 - |rx_1 - 3rzx_3|^2 \\
&= (3 - rzx_2)(3 - r\bar{z}\bar{s}_2) - |rx_1 - 3rzx_3|^2 \\
&= 9 - 6r\mathrm{Re}(zx_2) + r^2|zx_2|^2 - |rx_1 - 3rzx_3|^2 \\
&= 9r^2 - 3r^2zx_2 - 3r^2\bar{z}\bar{s}_2 + r^2|zx_2|^2 - |rx_1 - 3rzx_3|^2 + 9 - 6r\mathrm{Re}(zx_2) \\
&\quad - 9r^2 + 6r^2\mathrm{Re}(zx_2) \\
&= |3r - rzx_2|^2 - |rx_1 - 3rzx_3|^2 + 9 - 9r^2 + 6r^2\mathrm{Re}(zx_2) - 6r\mathrm{Re}(zx_2) \\
&= |3r - rzx_2|^2 - |rx_1 - 3rzx_3|^2 + 9(1 + r)(1 - r) - 6r\mathrm{Re}(zx_2)(1 - r) \\
&= r^2\{|3 - zx_2|^2 - |x_1 - 3zx_3|^2\} + (1 - r)(9 + 9r - 6r\mathrm{Re}(zx_2)). \qquad (10)
\end{aligned}$$

Now consider $x \in \Gamma_3$, then by condition (2) of Theorem 2.4, we have $\|\Phi(., x)\|_\infty \leq 1$ which implies

$$|3 - zx_2|^2 - |x_1 - 3zx_3|^2 \geq 0\,, \quad \text{for any } z \in \overline{\mathbb{D}}.$$

Again for $0 \leq r < 1$,

$$\begin{aligned}
9 + 9r - 6r\mathrm{Re}(zx_2) &= 9 + 9r - 6r\mathrm{Re}(zx_2) + r|zx_2|^2 - r|zx_2|^2 \\
&= (9 - r|zx_2|^2) + r(9 - 6\mathrm{Re}(zx_2) + |zx_2|^2) \\
&= (9 - r|zx_2|^2) + r|3 - zx_2|^2 > 0,
\end{aligned}$$

as $|z| \leq 1$, $|x_2| \leq 3$. Thus from (10) we have

$$|3 - rzx_2|^2 - |rx_1 - 3rzx_3|^2 > 0\,,$$

which is equivalent to $\Phi(z, rx) \in \mathbb{D}$ whenever $x \in \Gamma_3$, $z \in \overline{\mathbb{D}}$ and $0 \leq r < 1$. Thus $\Phi(\overline{\mathbb{D}}, rx) \subset \mathbb{D}$ whenever $x \in \Gamma_3$ and $0 \leq r < 1$. That will imply, again by Theorem 2.2, that $rx \in \mathbb{G}_3$ whenever $x \in \Gamma_3$ and $0 \leq r < 1$. Hence $\mathbb{G}_3$ and Γ_3 are starlike. Now $x = (3, 3, 1) \in \Gamma_3$ but $ix \notin \Gamma_3$, since ix fails satisfy condition (4) of Theorem 2.4. Hence Γ_3 is not circled and so $\mathbb{G}_3$ is not circled either. ∎

Remark 2.7. *Γ_3 is polynomially convex but not convex. Since $x = (3, 3i, i), y = (-3i, 3, -i) \in \Gamma_3$ but $\frac{1}{2}(x + y) \notin \Gamma_3$.*

3. Preparatory results and proof of Theorem 1.1

In this section, we first make preparation with few results before descending into the proof of Theorem 1.1, the main result of this paper.

Lemma 3.1. *Let $\psi : \mathbb{D} \longrightarrow \Gamma_3$ be an analytic function. If $\psi(\lambda_0) \in \mathbb{G}_3$ for some $\lambda_0 \in \mathbb{D}$ then $\psi(\mathbb{D}) \subset \mathbb{G}_3$.*

Proof. Write $\psi = (\psi_1, \psi_2, \psi_3)$. Suppose $\psi(\lambda_0) \in \mathbb{G}_3$ for some $\lambda_0 \in \mathbb{D}$, then $|\psi_2(\lambda_0)| < 3$. Since

$$\psi_2 : \mathbb{D} \longrightarrow 3\overline{\mathbb{D}} \equiv \{z \in \mathbb{C} : |z| \leq 3\}$$

is an analytic function, by Open Mapping Theorem, either ψ_2 is a constant map or $\psi_2(\mathbb{D})$ is a open set in $3\overline{\mathbb{D}}$. Hence for both the cases $|\psi_2(\lambda)| < 3$ for any $\lambda \in \mathbb{D}$. Fix $z \in \overline{\mathbb{D}}$. Then the function $g : \lambda \longmapsto \Phi(z, \psi(\lambda))$ is well defined (since $|\psi_2(\lambda)| < 3$) and analytic map on $\mathbb{D}$, and thus, by Theorem 2.2, $g(\lambda_0) \in \mathbb{D}$. Again by Open Mapping Theorem, $g(\mathbb{D}) \subset \mathbb{D}$. This argument is true for any $z \in \overline{\mathbb{D}}$. Now fix $\lambda \in \mathbb{D}$, then the map $\Phi(., \psi(\lambda))$ maps $\overline{\mathbb{D}}$ into $\mathbb{D}$. Then, again using Theorem 2.2, $\psi(\lambda) \in \mathbb{G}_3$. Now this argument is true for any $\lambda \in \mathbb{D}$. Hence $\psi(\mathbb{D}) \in \mathbb{G}_3$. ∎

For $Z \in M_{m\times n}(\mathbb{C})$ with $\|Z\| < 1$, we denote by $\mathcal{M}_Z$ the following matricial Möbius transformation

$$\mathcal{M}_Z(X) = (1 - ZZ^*)^{-\frac{1}{2}}(X - Z)(1 - Z^*X)^{-1}(1 - Z^*Z)^{\frac{1}{2}}\,.$$

Lemma 3.2 ([1], Lemma 3.1)**.** *Let $Z \in \mathbb{C}^{2\times 2}$ be such that $\|Z\| < 1$ and let $0 \leq \rho < 1$. Let*

$$M(\rho) = \begin{bmatrix} [(1-\rho^2 Z^*Z)(1-Z^*Z)^{-1}]_{11} & [(1-\rho^2)(1-ZZ^*)^{-1}Z]_{21} \\ [(1-\rho^2)Z^*(1-ZZ^*)^{-1}]_{12} & [(ZZ^*-\rho^2)(1-ZZ^*)^{-1}]_{22} \end{bmatrix}. \tag{11}$$

(1) *There exists $X \in \mathbb{C}^{2\times 2}$ such that $\|X\| \leq \rho$ and $[\mathcal{M}_{-Z}(X)]_{22} = 0$ if and only if* $\det M(\rho) \leq 0$.
(2) *For any 2×2 matrix X, $[\mathcal{M}_{-Z}(X)]_{22} = 0$ if and only if there exists $\alpha \in \mathbb{C}^2 \setminus \{0\}$ such that*

$$X^*u(\alpha) = v(\alpha)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} u(\alpha) &= (1 - ZZ^*)^{-\frac{1}{2}}(\alpha_1 Ze_1 + \alpha_2 e_2), \\ v(\alpha) &= -(1 - Z^*Z)^{-\frac{1}{2}}(\alpha_1 e_1 + \alpha_2 Z^* e_2) \end{aligned} \tag{12}$$

and e_1, e_2 is the standard basis of $\mathbb{C}^2$.
(3) *In particular, if* $\det M(\rho) \leq 0$ *then an X such that $\|X\| \leq \rho$ and $[\mathcal{M}_{-Z}(X)]_{22} = 0$ is given by*

$$X = \begin{cases} \dfrac{u(\alpha)v(\alpha)^*}{\|u(\alpha)\|^2} & \text{if } [Z]_{22} \neq 0 \\ 0 & \text{if } [Z]_{22} = 0 \end{cases}$$

for any $\alpha \in \mathbb{C}^2 \setminus \{0\}$ such that $\langle M(\rho)\alpha, \alpha\rangle \leq 0$.

Lemma 3.3 ([1], Lemma 3.2)**.** *Let $\lambda_0 \in \mathbb{D}\setminus\{0\}$ let $Z \in \mathbb{C}^{2\times 2}$ satisfy $\|Z\| < 1$ and let $M(.)$ be given by equation* (11)*,*
(1) *There exists a function G such that*

$$G \in S_{2\times 2}, \;\; [G(0)]_{22} = 0 \text{ and } G(\lambda_0) = Z \tag{13}$$

if and only if $\det M(|\lambda_0|) \leq 0$.

(2) *A function* $G \in S_{2\times 2}$ *satisfies the conditions* (13) *if and only if there exists* $\alpha \in \mathbb{C}^2 \setminus 0$ *such that* $\langle \det M(|\lambda_0|)\alpha, \alpha\rangle \leq 0$ *and a Schur function* Q *such that* $Q(0)^*\bar{\lambda}_0 u(\alpha) = v(\alpha)$ *and* $G = \mathcal{M}_{-Z} \circ (BQ)$, *where* $u(\alpha), v(\alpha)$ *are given by equation* (12) *and* B *is the Blaschke factor*

$$B(\lambda) = \frac{\lambda_0 - \lambda}{1 - \bar{\lambda}_0\lambda}. \tag{14}$$

(3) *In particular, if* $[Z]_{22} \neq 0$ *and* $\alpha \in \mathbb{C}^2 \setminus 0$ *satisfies* $\langle \det M(|\lambda_0|)\alpha, \alpha\rangle \leq 0$ *then the function*

$$G(\lambda) = \mathcal{M}_{-Z}\left(\frac{B(\lambda)u(\alpha)v(\alpha)^*}{\lambda_0\|u(\alpha)\|^2}\right) \tag{15}$$

satisfies the condition (13). *If* $[Z]_{22} = 0$, *then the constant function* $G(\lambda) = Z$ *will have the desired property and the equation* (15) *can be restated as* $G = M_Z \circ (BQ)$.

Being armed with these results we are now in a position to give a proof to Theorem 1.1.

Proof of Theorem 1.1.

(1) $\Leftrightarrow$ (1′) : From Lemma 3.1 equivalence of (1) and (1′) is clear .
(1′) $\Rightarrow$ (2) : Suppose (1′) holds and let $\psi : \mathbb{D} \to \mathbb{G}_3$ be such that $\psi(0) = (0, 0, 0)$ and $\psi(\lambda_0) = x$. Then, for any $z \in \mathbb{T}$, $\lambda \longmapsto \Phi(z, \psi(\lambda))$ is an analytic map, which, by Theorem (2.2), maps $\mathbb{D}$ into $\mathbb{D}$ and

$$0 \longmapsto \Phi(z, \psi(0)) = \Phi(z, 0, 0, 0) = 0.$$

Hence by Schwarz lemma in one variable,

$$|\Phi(z, x)| = |\Phi(z, \psi(\lambda_0))| \leq |\lambda_0| , \quad \text{whenever } z \in \mathbb{T}.$$

Thus

$$D(x) = \|\Phi(., x)\|_{H^\infty} = \sup_{z\in\mathbb{T}} |\Phi(z, x)| \leq |\lambda_0|.$$

Since $x \in \mathbb{G}_3$, by (5), we have

$$D(x) = \frac{|3s_1 - 3\bar{s}_2 p| + |s_1 s_2 - 9p|}{9 - |s_2|^2} \leq |\lambda_0|.$$

By repeating the same with Θ we get $\|\Theta(., x)\|_{H^\infty} \leq |\lambda_0|$, that is

$$\frac{|3s_2 - 3\bar{s}_1 p| + |s_1 s_2 - 9p|}{9 - |s_1|^2} \leq |\lambda_0|.$$

Hence (2) holds.

(2) $\Rightarrow$ (3) is Obvious

(4) $\Rightarrow$ (1): Suppose (4) holds. For such a Schur class function $F = [F_{ij}]$, set

$$\psi(\lambda) = \Big(3[F(\lambda)]_{11}, 3[F(\lambda)]_{22}, \det F(\lambda)\Big) : \mathbb{D} \longrightarrow \mathbb{C}^3.$$

Then ψ is analytic and $\psi(0) = (0,0,0)$, $\psi(\lambda_0) = x$. Also since F is in Schur class, $\|F(\lambda)\| \leq 1$. Now by (7) $\Leftrightarrow$ (1) of Theorem (2.4), $\psi(\lambda) \in \Gamma_3$ for any $\lambda \in \mathbb{D}$ and consequently $\psi(\mathbb{D}) \subset \Gamma_3$.

(3) $\Rightarrow$ (4) : Suppose (3) holds and $|s_2| \leq |s_1|$. Then

$$D(x) = \frac{|3s_1 - 3\bar{s}_2 p| + |s_1 s_2 - 9p|}{9 - |s_2|^2} \leq |\lambda_0|.$$

First consider the case of $s_1 s_2 = 9p$, then $D(x) = \dfrac{|s_1|}{3}$ and so

$$\frac{|s_2|}{3} \leq \frac{|s_1|}{3} \leq |\lambda_0| < 1.$$

Then, by Schwarz's Lemma, there exist analytic maps $f, g : \mathbb{D} \longrightarrow \mathbb{D}$ such that $f(0) = 0$, $f(\lambda_0) = \dfrac{s_1}{3}$ and $g(0) = 0$ and $g(\lambda_0) = \dfrac{s_2}{3}$. Then the function

$$F = \begin{bmatrix} f & 0 \\ 0 & g \end{bmatrix}$$

has the following properties

$$F(0) = \begin{bmatrix} f(0) & 0 \\ 0 & g(0) \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \quad \text{and}$$

$$F(\lambda_0) = \begin{bmatrix} f(\lambda_0) & 0 \\ 0 & g(\lambda_0) \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} s_1/3 & 0 \\ 0 & s_2/3 \end{bmatrix} = B = [b_{ij}],$$

where $(3b_{11}, 3b_{22}, \det b) = (s_1, s_2, \dfrac{s_1 s_2}{9}) = (s_1, s_2, p) = x$. Thus (3) $\Rightarrow$ (4) is proved for the case of $s_1 s_2 = 9p$.

Now consider the case $s_1 s_2 \neq 9p$. If we can construct $F \in S_{2\times 2}$ such that

$$F = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & * \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \text{ and } F(\lambda_0) = \begin{bmatrix} s_1/3 & w \\ \lambda_0 w & s_2/3 \end{bmatrix}, \tag{16}$$

where $w^2 = \dfrac{\dfrac{s_1 s_2}{9} - p}{\lambda_0} = \dfrac{s_1 s_2 - 9p}{9\lambda_0}$, then $\det F(\lambda_0) = p$ and so $F(\lambda_0) = B = [b_{ij}]$, where $x = (3b_{11}, 3b_{22}, \det B)$ and the issue will be resolved in this case.

Consider

$$Z = \begin{bmatrix} a/3\lambda_0 & w \\ w & b/3 \end{bmatrix}, \tag{17}$$

To construct such an F it is sufficient to find $G \in S_{2\times 2}$ such that the conditions in (13) hold for the matrix Z, since then the function $F(\lambda) = G(\lambda)\text{diag}(\lambda, 1)$ will have the following properties

$$F(\lambda_0) = G(\lambda_0)\begin{bmatrix}\lambda_0 & 0\\ 0 & 1\end{bmatrix} = Z\begin{bmatrix}\lambda_0 & 0\\ 0 & 1\end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix}a/3\lambda_0 & w\\ w & b/3\end{bmatrix}\begin{bmatrix}\lambda_0 & 0\\ 0 & 1\end{bmatrix}$$
$$= \begin{bmatrix}s_1/3 & w\\ \lambda_0 w & s_2/3\end{bmatrix}$$

and

$$F(0) = G(0)\begin{bmatrix}0 & 0\\ 0 & 1\end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix}* & *\\ * & 0\end{bmatrix}\begin{bmatrix}0 & 0\\ 0 & 1\end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix}0 & *\\ 0 & 0\end{bmatrix}.$$

Thus our aim is to find such a $G \in S_{2\times 2}$. Lemma 3.3 guarantee the existence of such G, provided that $\|Z\| \leq 1$.

Let

$$s_1' = s_1/\lambda_0, \;\; s_2' = s_2/\lambda_0, \;\; p' = p/\lambda_0,$$

then $s_1's_2 \neq 9p'$. So, by hypothesis and by (5),

$$\begin{aligned} D(s_1', s_2, p') &= \frac{|3s_1' - 3\bar{s}_2 p'| + |s_1's_2 - 9p'|}{9 - |s_2|^2} \\ &= \frac{1}{|\lambda_0|}\frac{|3s_1 - 3\bar{s}_2 p| + |s_1s_2 - 9p|}{9 - |s_2|^2} \\ &\leq 1 \end{aligned}$$

Now similarly

$$D(s_2', s_1, p') = \frac{1}{|\lambda_0|}D(s_2, s_1, p) \leq 1.$$

This is because

$$\begin{aligned} &D(x) \leq |\lambda_0| \\ \Rightarrow &\|\Theta(., x)\|_{H^\infty} \leq |\lambda_0| \\ \Rightarrow &\|\Phi(., (s_2, s_1, p))\|_{H^\infty} \leq |\lambda_0| \\ \Rightarrow &D(s_2, s_1, p) \leq |\lambda_0|. \end{aligned}$$

Now by Theorem 2.4, we have $D(s_1', s_2, p') \leq 1$ which further implies that $(s_1', s_2, p') \in \Gamma_3$. Also

$$\begin{aligned} &D(s_2', s_1, p') \leq 1 \\ \Rightarrow &\|\Phi(., s_2', s_1, p')\|_{H^\infty} \leq 1 \\ \Rightarrow &\|\Theta(., s_1, s_2', p')\|_{H^\infty} \leq 1 \\ \Rightarrow &(s_1, s_2', p') \in \Gamma_3. \end{aligned}$$

Hence $(s_1', s_2, p') \in \Gamma_3$ and $(s_1, s_2', p') \in \Gamma_3$.

As $(s_1, s_2', p') \in \Gamma_3$, by (1) $\Leftrightarrow$ (5) of Theorem 2.4, we have

$$9 - |s_1|^2 - |s_2'|^2 + 9|p'|^2 \geq 2|s_1 s_2' - 9p'|.$$

Therefore,

$$2 \leq Y_1 := \frac{|\lambda_0|}{|s_1 s_2 - 9p|}\Big(9 - |s_1|^2 - \frac{|s_2|^2}{|\lambda_0|^2} + \frac{9|p|^2}{|\lambda_0|^2}\Big). \tag{18}$$

Strict inequality occurs in the inequality (18) if and only if $(s_1, s_2', p') \in \mathbb{G}_3$, that is, if and only if $D(s_2, s_1, p) < |\lambda_0|$. Similarly, since $(s_1', s_2, p') \in \Gamma_3$, we have

$$2 \leq Y_2 := \frac{|\lambda_0|}{|s_1 s_2 - 9p|}\Big(9 - \frac{|s_1|^2}{|\lambda_0|^2} - |s_2|^2 + \frac{9|p|^2}{|\lambda_0|^2}\Big). \tag{19}$$

and strict inequality holds in inequality (19) if and only if $D(s_1, s_2, p) < |\lambda_0|$. At this point we need two lemmas to complete the proof. We state and prove the lemmas first and then get back to the proof of this theorem again.

Lemma 3.4. *Let $\lambda_0 \in \mathbb{D} \setminus \{0\}$ and $x = (s_1, s_2, p) \in \mathbb{G}_3$ with $s_1 s_2 \neq 9p$. Also suppose $|s_2| \leq |s_1|$ and $D(x) \leq |\lambda_0|$. Let Z be defined by (17), where $w^2 = \dfrac{s_1 s_2 - 9p}{9\lambda_0}$. Then $\|Z\| \leq 1$. Moreover $\|Z\| = 1$ if and only if $D(x) = |\lambda_0|$.*

Proof. We have

$$Z^*Z = \begin{bmatrix} \bar{s}_1/3\bar{\lambda}_0 & \bar{w} \\ \bar{w} & \bar{s}_2/3 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} s_1/3\lambda_0 & w \\ w & s_2/3 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \dfrac{|s_1|^2}{9|\lambda_0|^2} + |w|^2 & \dfrac{\bar{s}_1}{3\bar{\lambda}_0} w + \dfrac{s_2}{3}\bar{w} \\ \dfrac{s_1}{3\lambda_0}\bar{w} + \dfrac{\bar{s}_2}{3} w & \dfrac{|s_2|^2}{9} + |w|^2 \end{bmatrix}$$

So

$$I - Z^*Z = \begin{bmatrix} 1 - \dfrac{|s_1|^2}{9|\lambda_0|^2} - |w|^2 & -\dfrac{\bar{s}_1}{3\bar{\lambda}_0} w - \dfrac{s_2}{3}\bar{w} \\ -\dfrac{s_1}{3\lambda_0}\bar{w} - \dfrac{\bar{s}_2}{3} w & 1 - \dfrac{|s_2|^2}{9} - |w|^2 \end{bmatrix},$$

$$\det(I - Z^*Z) = \frac{1}{9}\big(9 - |s_1'|^2 - |s_2| - 2|s_1' s_2 - 9p'| + 9|p'|^2\big). \tag{20}$$

We already shown that $D(x) \leq |\lambda_0| \Rightarrow (s_1', s_2, p') \in \Gamma_3$. Since$(s_1', s_2, p') \in \Gamma_3$, by condition (5) of Theorem 2.4, we have $\det(I - Z^*Z) \geq 0$.
Again, since $(s_1', s_2, p') \in \Gamma_3$, by condition (3′) of Theorem (2.4)

$$(I - Z^*Z)_{11} = 1 - \frac{1}{9}|s_1'|^2 - \frac{1}{9}|s_1' s_2 - 9p'| \geq 9|3s_2 - 3\bar{s}_1' p'| \geq 0$$

and, by condition $(3')$ of Theorem (2.4)

$$(I - Z^*Z)_{22} = 1 - \frac{1}{9}|s_2|^2 - \frac{1}{9}|s_1's_2 - 9p'| \geq 9|3s_1' - 3\bar{s}_2p'| \geq 0.$$

Hence, the diagonal entries of $(I - Z^*Z)$ and $\det(I - Z^*Z)$ are non-negative. Hence $(I - Z^*Z) \geq 0$ and so $\|Z\| \leq 1$.
Moreover, since $D(x) < |\lambda_0| \Leftrightarrow (s_1', s_2, p') \in \mathbb{G}_3$. By using corresponding conditions in Theorem (2.2) instead of Theorem (2.4), the diagonal entries and determinant of $(I - Z^*Z)$ are all strictly positive and so $\|Z\| < 1$ if and only if $D(x) < |\lambda_0|$. Hence $\|Z\| = 1$ if and only if $D(x) = |\lambda_0|$. ∎

Lemma 3.5. *Let $\lambda_0 \in \mathbb{D} \setminus \{0\}$ and $x = (s_1, s_2, p) \in \mathbb{G}_3$ with $s_1s_2 \neq 9p$. Also suppose $|s_2| \leq |s_1|$ and $D(x) < |\lambda_0|$. Let Z is defined by (17) where $w^2 = \dfrac{s_1s_2 - 9p}{9\lambda_0}$ and corresponding $M(.)$ is defined by (11) . Then*

$M(|\lambda_0|)\det(1 - Z^*Z) =$

$$\begin{bmatrix} 1 - \dfrac{|s_1|^2}{9} - \dfrac{|s_2|^2}{9} + |p|^2 - \dfrac{|s_1s_2 - 9p|}{9}\Big(|\lambda_0| + \dfrac{1}{|\lambda_0|}\Big) & (1 - |\lambda_0|^2)\Big(w + \dfrac{p}{|\lambda_0|}\bar{w}\Big) \\ (1 - |\lambda_0|^2)\Big(\bar{w} + \dfrac{\bar{p}}{|\bar{\lambda}_0|}w\Big) & -|\lambda_0|^2 + \dfrac{|s_1|^2}{9} + \dfrac{|s_2|^2}{9} - \dfrac{|p|^2}{|\lambda_0|^2} \\ & + \dfrac{|s_1s_2 - 9p|}{9}\Big(|\lambda_0| + \dfrac{1}{|\lambda_0|}\Big) \end{bmatrix}$$

and

$$\det\big(M(|\lambda_0|)\det(1 - Z^*Z)\big) = -(y - y_1)(y - y_2)$$

where

$$y = 2\frac{|s_1s_2 - 9p|}{9}$$

$$y_1 = |\lambda_0|\Big(1 - \frac{|s_1|^2}{9} - \frac{|s_2|^2}{9|\lambda_0|^2} + \frac{|p|^2}{|\lambda_0|^2}\Big) = \frac{|s_1s_2 - 9p|}{9}Y_1$$

$$y_2 = |\lambda_0|\Big(1 - \frac{|s_1|^2}{9|\lambda_0|^2} - \frac{|s_2|^2}{9} + \frac{|p|^2}{|\lambda_0|^2}\Big) = \frac{|s_1s_2 - 9p|}{9}Y_2$$

Proof. This is a straight forward but lengthy calculation which can be done in same fashion as it is in proof of Theorem 5.1 (with $\sigma = 1$). ∎

Now let us get back to the proof of Theorem 1.1. First consider the case of $D(x) < |\lambda_0|$. Then, by Lemma 3.4, we have $\|Z\| \leq 1$. Again since $Y_1, Y_2 > 2$, by Lemma 3.5, we have

$$\det\big(M(|\lambda_0|)\det(I - Z^*Z)\big) = -\frac{|s_1s_2 - 9p|^2}{81}(2 - Y_1)(2 - Y_2) \leq 0.$$

Then $\det(M(|\lambda_0|) < 0$, since $\|Z\| \leq 1$. Thus by lemma 3.3, there exists $G \in S_{2\times 2}$ such that $[G(0)]_{22} = 0$ and $G(\lambda_0) = Z$. Hence a F with required properties is constructed, so we have (3) $\Rightarrow$ (4) in case of $|s_2| \leq |s_1|$ and $D(x) < |\lambda_0|$, similarly one can have the same for the case of $|s_1| \leq |s_2|$ and

$D(x) < |\lambda_0|$.

Now Consider the case of $D(x) = |\lambda_0|$. Take $\epsilon > 0$ so that $|\lambda_\epsilon| < 1$, where $\lambda_\epsilon = \lambda_0(1+\epsilon)^2$. Then $D(x) = |\lambda_0| < |\lambda_\epsilon| < 1$ and

$$\Big(\frac{w}{1+\epsilon}\Big)^2 = \frac{s_1 s_2 - 9p}{9\lambda_\epsilon}.$$

Thus by same reason as described above, for each $\epsilon > 0$ with $|\lambda_\epsilon| < 1$, there exists $F_\epsilon \in S_{2\times 2}$ such that

$$F_\epsilon(0) = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & * \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \quad \text{and} \quad F_\epsilon(\lambda_\epsilon) = \begin{bmatrix} s_1/3 & \dfrac{w}{1+\epsilon} \\ (1+\epsilon)\lambda_0 w & s_2/3 \end{bmatrix}.$$

Since, we have $\|F_\epsilon(\lambda)\| \leq 1$ for all $\lambda \in \mathbb{D}$ and for each $\epsilon > 0$ such that $|\lambda_\epsilon| < 1$, the set

$$\{F_\epsilon : \epsilon > 0 \ \text{ and } \ |\lambda_\epsilon| < 1\}$$

is uniformly bounded on $\mathbb{D}$ and hence on each compact subsets of $\mathbb{D}$. So by Montel's Theorem, there exists a subsequence of $\{F_\epsilon\}$ converging uniformly on each compact subset of $\mathbb{D}$, to an analytic function F in Schur class, as $\epsilon \longrightarrow 0$. Since

$$F_\epsilon(\lambda_\epsilon) \longrightarrow F(\lambda_0) \quad \text{and} \quad \begin{bmatrix} s_1/3 & \frac{w}{1+\epsilon} \\ (1+\epsilon)\lambda_0 w & s_2/3 \end{bmatrix} \longrightarrow \begin{bmatrix} s_1/3 & w \\ \lambda_0 w & s_2/3 \end{bmatrix} \quad \text{as } \epsilon \longrightarrow 0.$$

Then this F has the required property, that is

$$F(0) = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & * \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \quad \text{and} \quad F(\lambda_0) = \begin{bmatrix} s_1/3 & w \\ \lambda_0 w & s_2/3 \end{bmatrix}$$

where $w^2 = \dfrac{s_1 s_2 - 9p}{9\lambda_0}$. Thus (3) $\Rightarrow$ (4).

Now we come to the last part of the proof. Let $\psi = (\psi_1, \psi_2, \psi_3)$ be an analytic map from $\mathbb{D}$ to Γ_3 that maps 0 to $(0,0,0)$. Then, by the already proven parts of Theorem (1.1), we have

$$\max\left\{ \frac{|3\psi_1(\lambda) - 3\bar{\psi}_2(\lambda)\psi_3(\lambda)| + |\psi_1(\lambda)\psi_2(\lambda) - 9\psi_3(\lambda)|}{9 - |\psi_2(\lambda)|^2}, \right.$$
$$\left. \frac{|3\psi_2(\lambda) - 3\bar{\psi}_1(\lambda)\psi_3(\lambda)| + |\psi_1(\lambda)\psi_2(\lambda) - 9\psi_3(\lambda)|}{9 - |\psi_1(\lambda)|^2} \right\} \leq |\lambda| \qquad (21)$$

for each $\lambda \in \mathbb{D} \setminus \{0\}$. Now since $\psi(0) = (0,0,0)$, using L'Hospital's rule we get the following

$$\lim_{\lambda \to 0} \frac{|3\psi_1(\lambda) - 3\bar{\psi}_2(\lambda)\psi_3(\lambda)|}{|\lambda|(9 - |\psi_2(\lambda)|^2)} = \frac{|\psi_1'(0)|}{3}$$

and

$$\lim_{\lambda\to 0}\frac{|\psi_1(\lambda)\psi_2(\lambda)-9\psi_3(\lambda)|}{|\lambda|(9-|\psi_2(\lambda)|^2)}=|\psi_3'(0)|.$$

Thus

$$\lim_{\lambda\to 0}\frac{|(3\psi_1-3\bar{\psi}_2\psi_3)(\lambda)|+|(\psi_1\psi_2-9\psi_3)(\lambda)|}{|\lambda|(9-|\psi_2(\lambda)|^2)}=\frac{|\psi_1'(0)|}{3}+|\psi_3'(0)|$$

and similarly,

$$\lim_{\lambda\to 0}\frac{|(3\psi_2-3\bar{\psi}_1\psi_3)(\lambda)|+|(\psi_1\psi_2-9\psi_3)(\lambda)|}{|\lambda|(9-|\psi_2(\lambda)|^1)}=\frac{|\psi_2'(0)|}{3}+|\psi_3'(0)|.$$

Now inequality (21) is true for all $\lambda\in\mathbb{D}\setminus\{0\}$, so dividing both side of (21) by $|\lambda|$ and letting $\lambda\longrightarrow 0$ we have

$$\max\Big\{\frac{|\psi_1'(0)|}{3}+|\psi_3'(0)|,\frac{|\psi_2'(0)|}{3}+|\psi_3'(0)|\Big\}\leq 1$$

that is

$$\max\Big\{\frac{|\psi_1'(0)|}{3},\frac{|\psi_2'(0)|}{3}\Big\}+|\psi_3'(0)|\leq 1.$$

The proof is now complete. ∎

Corollary 3.6. *Let $(s_1,s_2,p)\in\mathbb{G}_3$ and $|s_2|\leq|s_1|$. Then*

$$\frac{|3s_2-3\bar{s}_1p|+|s_1s_2-9p|}{9-|s_1|^2}\leq\frac{|3s_1-3\bar{s}_2p|+|s_1s_2-9p|}{9-|s_2|^2}.$$

Proof. If $(s_1,s_2,p)=(0,0,0)$ then clearly the inequality holds. For $(s_1,s_2,p)\neq(0,0,0)$ consider

$$\lambda_0=D(s_1,s_2,p)=\frac{|3s_1-3\bar{s}_2p|+|s_1s_2-9p|}{9-|s_2|^2},$$

since $|s_2|\leq|s_1|$ condition (3) of Theorem 1.1 is satisfied. Hence part-(2) of the same Theorem holds and so the above inequality in the statement follows. ∎

4. Non-uniqueness in the Schwarz lemma

Unlike Schwarz's original lemma, there is no uniqueness statement in the case of Schwarz lemma for $\mathbb{G}_3$. Even if equality holds in part-(2) of Theorem (1.1), existence of the function ψ as described in the same theorem is not unique. We provide an example here.

Let $x = (s_1, s_2, p) = \left(\frac{3}{2}, \frac{3}{4}, \frac{1}{2}\right)$. Since $|s_2| < |s_1|$, from Corollary 3.6, we have

$$\max\left\{\frac{|3s_1 - 3\bar{s}_2 p| + |s_1 s_2 - 9p|}{9 - |s_2|^2}, \frac{|3s_2 - 3\bar{s}_1 p| + |s_1 s_2 - 9p|}{9 - |s_1|^2}\right\}$$
$$= \frac{|3s_1 - 3\bar{s}_2 p| + |s_1 s_2 - 9p|}{9 - |s_2|^2} = \frac{4}{5}.$$

Let $\lambda_0 = -\frac{4}{5}$. We are going to show that there are infinitely many analytic function $\psi : \mathbb{D} \longrightarrow \Gamma_3$ such that $\psi(0) = (0, 0, 0)$ and $\psi(\lambda_0) = x$.

Let $w^2 = \frac{s_1 s_2 - 9p}{9\lambda_0} = \frac{15}{32}$ and

$$Z = \begin{bmatrix} a/3\lambda_0 & w \\ w & b/3 \end{bmatrix}.$$

Since $s_1 s_2 \neq 9p$ and $D(x) = |\lambda_0|$, we have $\|Z\| = 1$. Now Z is hermitian and hence can be diagonalized as follows:

$$Z = \begin{bmatrix} -5/8 & w \\ w & 1/4 \end{bmatrix} = U \begin{bmatrix} -1 & 0 \\ 0 & 5/8 \end{bmatrix} U^*,$$

where U is the unitary matrix

$$U = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{8}{\sqrt{39}}w & \frac{4\sqrt{2}}{\sqrt{65}}w \\ \frac{-3}{\sqrt{39}} & \frac{5\sqrt{2}}{\sqrt{65}} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 8w & 4w \\ -3 & 5 \end{bmatrix} \operatorname{diag}\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{39}}, \sqrt{\frac{2}{65}}\right),$$

since $\begin{bmatrix} \frac{8}{\sqrt{39}}w \\ \frac{-3}{\sqrt{39}} \end{bmatrix}$ and $\begin{bmatrix} \frac{4\sqrt{2}}{\sqrt{65}}w \\ \frac{5\sqrt{2}}{\sqrt{65}} \end{bmatrix}$ are unit eigenvectors of Z corresponding to the eigenvalues -1 and $5/8$. Note that G is a Schur Function if and only if U^*GU is a Schur function. Consider

$$H(\lambda) = \begin{bmatrix} -1 & 0 \\ 0 & g(\lambda) \end{bmatrix}$$

for some function $g : \mathbb{D} \longrightarrow \overline{\mathbb{D}}$ satisfying $g(\lambda_0) = \frac{5}{8}$. Then H is a Schur function. Now take $G = UHU^*$. Then G is a Schur function satisfying

$$G(\lambda_0) = U \begin{bmatrix} -1 & 0 \\ 0 & 5/8 \end{bmatrix} U^* = Z \quad \text{and} \quad \big[G(0)\big]_{22} = \frac{1}{13}(10g(0) - 3).$$

Hence for each Schur function g with

$$g(0) = 3/10 \quad \text{and} \quad g(-4/5) = 5/8 \tag{22}$$

there will be a Schur function G satisfying

$$G(\lambda_0) = Z \quad \text{and} \quad \big[G(0)\big]_{22} = 0. \tag{23}$$

Now define

$$\psi = (F_{11}, F_{22}, \det F) : \mathbb{D} \longrightarrow \Gamma_3$$

where $F(\lambda) = G(\lambda)\text{diag}(\lambda, 1)$. Then $\psi(0) = (0, 0, 0)$ and $\psi(\lambda_0) = x$ whenever g satisfies conditions (22). Note that, since

$$F(\lambda) = U\text{diag}(-1,\, g(\lambda))U^*\text{diag}(\lambda, 1)$$

and the matrix U is unitary, we have $\psi_3(\lambda) = \det F(\lambda) = -\lambda g(\lambda)$.Since the pseudo hyperbolic distance $d(\frac{3}{10}, \frac{5}{8}) = \frac{2}{5} < \frac{4}{5} = |\lambda_0|$ there exist infinitely many such g. Thus existence of infinitely many distinct g in Schur class satisfying conditions (22) will give rise to infinitely many different analytic function ψ, satisfying $\psi(0) = (0, 0, 0),\ \psi(\lambda_0) = x$.

5. The interpolating function

In the previous section we saw that no uniqueness statement holds for interpolating functions in Theorem 1.1. In this section we provide, for $\lambda_0 \in \mathbb{D} \setminus \{0\}$ and $x \in \mathbb{G}_3$, an explicit description of all analytic functions $\psi : \mathbb{D} \longrightarrow \mathbb{G}_3$ such that $\psi(0) = 0$ and $\psi(\lambda_0) = x$.

Theorem 5.1. *Let $\lambda_0 \in \mathbb{D} \setminus \{0\}$ and $x = (s_1, s_2, p) \in \mathbb{G}_3$ and suppose that $s_1 s_2 \neq 9p$, $|s_2| \leq |s_1|$ and $D(x) < |\lambda_0|$. The set $\tilde{I}$ of analytic functions $\psi : \mathbb{D} \longrightarrow \mathbb{G}_3$ such that $\psi(0) = (0, 0, 0)$ and $\psi(\lambda_0) = x$ can be described as follows :*
For any $\sigma > 0$ let

$$Z(\sigma) = \begin{bmatrix} s_1/3\lambda_0 & \sigma w \\ \\ \sigma^{-1} w & s_2/3 \end{bmatrix}$$

where $w^2 = \dfrac{s_1 s_2 - 9p}{9\lambda_0}$ and let $M(.)$ be defined by equation (11) with $Z = Z(\sigma)$. Also let ξ_1, ξ_2 be the root of the equation $\xi + 1/\xi = Y_2$, where Y_2 is defined by equation (19). Then for any σ such that

$$\xi_1 < \sigma^2 < \xi_2 \tag{24}$$

we have $\|Z(\sigma)\| < 1$ and $M(|\lambda_0|)$ is not positive definite. Furthermore, for any $\alpha \in \mathbb{C}^2 \setminus \{0\}$ such that

$$\langle M(|\lambda_0|)\alpha, \alpha\rangle \leq 0 \tag{25}$$

and any 2×2 function Q in the Schur class such that

$$Q(0)^* \bar{\lambda}_0 u(\alpha) = v(\alpha), \tag{26}$$

where $u(\alpha), v(\alpha)$ are given by equation (12)*, the function $\pi \circ F$ belongs to $\tilde{I}$ where*

$$F(\lambda) = M_{-Z(\alpha)} \circ (BQ)(\lambda) diag(\lambda, 1). \tag{27}$$

Conversely, every function in $\tilde{I}$ is of the form $\pi \circ F$ for some choice of σ, α and Q satisfying the condition (24), (25), (26) *respectively and for F given by equation* (27)*.*

Proof. Let $Z = Z(\sigma)$, then

$$1 - Z^*Z = I - \begin{bmatrix} \bar{s}_1/3\bar{\lambda}_0 & \sigma^{-1}\bar{w} \\ \\ \sigma\bar{w} & \bar{s}_2/3 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} s_1/3\lambda_0 & \sigma w \\ \\ \sigma^{-1}w & s_2/3 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$= \begin{bmatrix} 1 - \frac{|s_1|^2}{9|\lambda_0|^2} - \frac{|w|^2}{\sigma^2} & -\frac{\bar{s}_1\sigma w}{3\bar{\lambda}_0} - \frac{s_2\bar{w}}{3\sigma} \\ \\ -\frac{s_1\sigma\bar{w}}{3\lambda_0} - \frac{\bar{s}_2 w}{3\sigma} & 1 - \sigma^2|w|^2 - \frac{|s_2|^2}{9} \end{bmatrix}.$$

A simple calculation (see the Appendix) shows that

$$\det(1 - Z^*Z) = 1 - \frac{|s_1|^2}{9|\lambda_0|^2} - \frac{|s_2|^2}{9} + \frac{|p|^2}{|\lambda_0|^2} - \frac{|s_1 s_2 - 9p|}{9|\lambda_0|}(\sigma + 1/\sigma^2). \tag{28}$$

So, $\|Z(\sigma)\| = \|Z\| < 1$ if and only if

$$1 - \frac{|s_2|^2}{9} - \sigma^2\frac{|s_1 s_2 - 9p|}{9|\lambda_0|} > 0 \quad \text{and}$$

$$1 - \frac{|s_1|^2}{9|\lambda_0|^2} - \frac{|s_2|^2}{9} + \frac{|p|^2}{|\lambda_0|^2} - \frac{|s_1 s_2 - 9p|}{9|\lambda_0|}(\sigma^2 + 1/\sigma^2) > 0,$$

that is, if and only if

$$\sigma^2 < K \quad \text{and} \quad \sigma^2 + 1/\sigma^2 < Y_2,$$

where

$$K \equiv \frac{9|\lambda_0|(1 - \frac{|s_2|^2}{9})}{|s_1 s_2 - 9p|} = \frac{|\lambda_0|(9 - |s_2|^2)}{|s_1 s_2 - 9p|} \tag{29}$$

and Y_2 as in (19). Clearly $s_1 \neq \bar{s}_2 p$, (otherwise $s_1 = \bar{s}_2 p$ will imply $|s_1| = |\bar{s}_2||p| < |\bar{s}_2| = |s_2|$ i.e. $|s_1| < |s_2|$ which is a contradiction.) and so $D(x) < |\lambda_0|$ implies that

$$|s_1 s_2 - 9p| < 3|s_1 - \bar{s}_2 p| + |s_1 s_2 - 9p| = (9 - |s_2|^2)D(x) \leq |\lambda_0|(9 - |s_2|^2).$$

Then by inequality (19),

$$K > 1 \quad \text{and} \quad Y_2 > 2.$$

So (see the Appendix) we have

$$K + 1/K - Y_2 = \frac{9|a - \bar{b}p|^2}{|\lambda_0|(9 - |s_2|^2)|s_1 s_2 - 9p|} > 0. \tag{30}$$

Hence

$$K + 1/K > Y_2. \tag{31}$$

The graph of $f(x) = x + \dfrac{1}{x}$ is the following



f is continuous and has a point of minima at $x = 1$ and $f(1) = 2$. Since $Y_2 > 2$, the line $x = Y_2$ cuts the graph of f twice. Again since ξ_1 and ξ_2 are two solution of the equation $\xi + 1/\xi = Y_2$ So, it is clear using above figure, that $\xi + 1/\xi < Y_2$ when $\xi_1 < \xi < \xi_2$. Again since $\xi_1 < 1 < \xi_2$ and $K > 1$, using (31), we have $k > \xi_2$. Hence $\xi < K$ when $\xi_1 < \xi < \xi_2$. Equivalently when $\xi + 1/\xi < Y_2$, automatically $\xi < K$ holds. It follows that $\|Z(\sigma)\| < 1$ if and only if $\xi_1 < \sigma^2 < \xi_2$. we claim that, for the same range of values of σ, $\det M(|\lambda_0|) < 0$. First note that :

$$M(|\lambda_0|) = \begin{bmatrix} [(1-|\lambda_0|^2 Z^*Z)(1-Z^*Z)^{-1}]_{11} & [(1-|\lambda_0|^2)(1-ZZ^*)^{-1}Z]_{21} \\ [(1-|\lambda_0|^2)Z^*(1-ZZ^*)^{-1}]_{12} & [(ZZ^*-|\lambda_0|^2)(1-ZZ^*)^{-1}]_{22} \end{bmatrix}.$$

Now

$$(1-|\lambda_0|^2 Z^*Z) = \begin{bmatrix} 1-\dfrac{|s_1|^2}{9|\lambda_0|^2} - \dfrac{|\lambda_0|^2|w|^2}{\sigma^2} & -\dfrac{|\lambda_0|\bar{s}_1\sigma w}{3\bar{\lambda}_0} - \dfrac{|\lambda_0|^2 s_2\bar{w}}{3\sigma} \\ -\dfrac{|\lambda_0|s_1\sigma\bar{w}}{3\lambda_0} - \dfrac{|\lambda_0|^2\bar{s}_2 w}{3\sigma} & 1-|\lambda_0|^2\sigma^2|w|^2 - \dfrac{|\lambda_0|^2|s_2|^2}{9} \end{bmatrix},$$

$$(1-Z^*Z)^{-1} = \frac{1}{\det(1-Z^*Z)} \begin{bmatrix} 1-\sigma^2|w|^2 - \dfrac{|s_2|^2}{9} & \dfrac{\bar{s}_1\sigma w}{3\bar{\lambda}_0} + \dfrac{s_2\bar{w}}{3\sigma} \\ \dfrac{s_1\sigma\bar{w}}{3\lambda_0} + \dfrac{\bar{s}_2 w}{3\sigma} & 1-\dfrac{|s_1|^2}{9|\lambda_0|^2} - \dfrac{|w|^2}{\sigma^2} \end{bmatrix}.$$

Then (see the Appendix),

$$[(1-|\lambda_0|^2 Z^*Z)(1-Z^*Z)^{-1}]_{11} = \frac{1}{\det(1-Z^*Z)}\left[1-\frac{|s_1|^2}{9}-\frac{|s_2|^2}{9}+|p|^2-\frac{|s_1s_2-9p|}{9}\Big(\frac{|\lambda_0|}{\sigma^2}+\frac{\sigma^2}{|\lambda_0|}\Big)\right]. \tag{32}$$

So

$$[M(|\lambda_0|)\det(1-Z^*Z)]_{11} = 1-\frac{|s_1|^2}{9}-\frac{|s_2|^2}{9}+|p|^2-\frac{|s_1s_2-9p|}{9}\Big(\frac{|\lambda_0|}{\sigma^2}+\frac{\sigma^2}{|\lambda_0|}\Big).$$

Again

$$\begin{aligned}(1-ZZ^*) &= I-\begin{bmatrix} s_1/3\lambda_0 & \sigma w \\ \sigma^{-1}w & s_2/3\end{bmatrix}\begin{bmatrix} \bar{s}_1/3\bar{\lambda}_0 & \sigma^{-1}\bar{w} \\ \sigma\bar{w} & \bar{s}_2/3\end{bmatrix} \\ &= \begin{bmatrix} 1-\frac{|s_1|^2}{9|\lambda_0|^2}-\sigma^2|w|^2 & -\frac{s_1\bar{w}}{3\sigma\lambda_0}-\frac{\bar{s}_2\sigma w}{3} \\ -\frac{\bar{s}_1 w}{3\sigma\bar{\lambda}_0}-\frac{s_2\sigma\bar{w}}{3} & 1-\frac{|w|^2}{\sigma^2}-\frac{|s_2|^2}{9}\end{bmatrix}.\end{aligned}$$

So using $\det(1-ZZ^*)=\det(1-Z^*Z)$, we have

$$(1-ZZ^*)^{-1} = \frac{1}{\det(1-Z^*Z)}\begin{bmatrix} 1-\frac{|w|^2}{\sigma^2}-\frac{|s_2|^2}{9} & \frac{s_1\bar{w}}{3\sigma\lambda_0}+\frac{\bar{s}_2\sigma w}{3} \\ \frac{\bar{s}_1 w}{3\sigma\bar{\lambda}_0}+\frac{s_2\sigma\bar{w}}{3} & 1-\frac{|s_1|^2}{9|\lambda_0|^2}-\sigma^2|w|^2\end{bmatrix}.$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned}&(1-ZZ^*)^{-1}Z \\ &= \frac{1}{\det(1-Z^*Z)}\begin{bmatrix} 1-\frac{|w|^2}{\sigma^2}-\frac{|s_2|^2}{9} & \frac{s_1\bar{w}}{3\sigma\lambda_0}+\frac{\bar{s}_2\sigma w}{3} \\ \frac{\bar{s}_1 w}{3\sigma\bar{\lambda}_0}+\frac{s_2\sigma\bar{w}}{3} & 1-\frac{|s_1|^2}{9|\lambda_0|^2}-\sigma^2|w|^2\end{bmatrix}\begin{bmatrix} s_1/3\lambda_0 & \sigma w \\ \sigma^{-1}w & s_2/3\end{bmatrix}.\end{aligned}$$

So,

$$\begin{aligned}
&[(1-ZZ^*)^{-1}Z]_{21}\\
&= \frac{1}{\det(1-Z^*Z)}\left[\frac{|s_1|^2 w}{9\sigma|\lambda_0|^2} + \frac{s_1 s_2 \sigma\bar{w}}{9\lambda_0} + \frac{w}{\sigma} - \frac{|s_1|^2 w}{9\sigma|\lambda_0|^2} - \sigma w|w|^2\right]\\
&= \frac{1}{\det(1-Z^*Z)}\left[\frac{s_1 s_2 \sigma\bar{w}}{9\lambda_0} + \frac{w}{\sigma} - \sigma\bar{w}\frac{s_1 s_2 - 9p}{9\lambda_0}\right]\\
&= \frac{1}{\det(1-Z^*Z)}\Big[\frac{w}{\sigma} + \frac{p}{\lambda_0}\sigma\bar{w}\Big].
\end{aligned}$$

Thus

$$[M(|\lambda_0|)\det(1-Z(\sigma)^*Z(\sigma))]_{12} = (1-|\lambda_0|^2)\Big(\frac{w}{\sigma} + \frac{p}{\lambda_0}\sigma\bar{w}\Big).$$

Again,

$$\begin{aligned}
&Z^*(1-ZZ^*)^{-1}\\
&= \frac{1}{\det(1-Z^*Z)}\begin{bmatrix}\bar{s}_1/3\bar{\lambda}_0 & \sigma^{-1}\bar{w}\\ \sigma\bar{w} & \bar{s}_2/3\end{bmatrix}\begin{bmatrix}1-\dfrac{|w|^2}{\sigma^2}-\dfrac{|s_2|^2}{9} & \dfrac{s_1\bar{w}}{3\sigma\lambda_0}+\dfrac{\bar{s}_2\sigma w}{3}\\ \dfrac{\bar{s}_1 w}{3\sigma\bar{\lambda}_0}+\dfrac{s_2\sigma\bar{w}}{3} & 1-\dfrac{|s_1|^2}{9|\lambda_0|^2}-\sigma^2|w|^2\end{bmatrix}.
\end{aligned}$$

So,

$$\begin{aligned}
&[(1-ZZ^*)^{-1}Z]_{12}\\
&= \frac{1}{\det(1-Z^*Z)}\left[\frac{|s_1|^2\bar{w}}{9\sigma|\lambda_0|^2} + \frac{\bar{s}_1\bar{s}_2\sigma w}{9\bar{\lambda}_0} + \frac{\bar{w}}{\sigma} - \frac{|s_1|^2\bar{w}}{9\sigma|\lambda_0|^2} - \sigma\bar{w}|w|^2\right]\\
&= \frac{1}{\det(1-Z^*Z)}\left[\frac{\bar{s}_1\bar{s}_2\sigma w}{9\bar{\lambda}_0} + \frac{\bar{w}}{\sigma} - \sigma w\frac{\bar{s}_1\bar{s}_2 - 9\bar{p}}{9\bar{\lambda}_0}\right]\\
&= \frac{1}{\det(1-Z^*Z)}\Big[\frac{\bar{w}}{\sigma} + \frac{\bar{p}}{\bar{\lambda}_0}\sigma w\Big],
\end{aligned}$$

and hence

$$[M(|\lambda_0|)\det(1-Z^*Z)]_{21} = (1-|\lambda_0|^2)\Big(\frac{\bar{w}}{\sigma} + \frac{\bar{p}}{\bar{\lambda}_0}\sigma w\Big).$$

Also

$$(ZZ^* - |\lambda_0|^2)(1 - ZZ^*)^{-1}$$
$$= \frac{1}{\det(1 - Z^*Z)} \begin{bmatrix} \frac{|s_1|^2}{9|\lambda_0|^2} + \sigma^2|w|^2 - |\lambda_0|^2 & \frac{s_1\bar{w}}{3\sigma\lambda_0} + \frac{\bar{s}_2\sigma w}{3} \\ \frac{\bar{s}_1 w}{3\sigma\bar{\lambda}_0} + \frac{s_2\sigma\bar{w}}{3} & \frac{|w|^2}{\sigma^2} + \frac{|s_2|^2}{9} - |\lambda_0|^2 \end{bmatrix} \times$$
$$\begin{bmatrix} 1 - \frac{|w|^2}{\sigma^2} - \frac{|s_2|^2}{9} & \frac{s_1\bar{w}}{3\sigma\lambda_0} + \frac{\bar{s}_2\sigma w}{3} \\ \frac{\bar{s}_1 w}{3\sigma\bar{\lambda}_0} + \frac{s_2\sigma\bar{w}}{3} & 1 - \frac{|s_1|^2}{9|\lambda_0|^2} - \sigma^2|w|^2 \end{bmatrix}.$$

Again (see the Appendix)

$$[(ZZ^* - |\lambda_0|^2)(1 - ZZ^*)^{-1}]_{22}$$
$$= \frac{1}{\det(1 - Z^*Z)} \left[\frac{|s_1|^2}{9} + \frac{|s_2|^2}{9} - |\lambda_0|^2 + \frac{|s_1 s_2 - 9p|}{9} \Big(\sigma^2|\lambda_0| + \frac{1}{\sigma^2|\lambda_0|} \Big) - \frac{|p|^2}{|\lambda_0|^2} \right] \tag{33}$$

and hence

$$[M(|\lambda_0|) \det(1 - Z^*Z)]_{22}$$
$$= -|\lambda_0|^2 - \frac{|s_1|^2}{9} - \frac{|s_2|^2}{9} + \frac{|p|^2}{|\lambda_0|^2} - \frac{|s_1 s_2 - 9p|}{9} \Big(|\lambda_0|\sigma^2 + \frac{1}{\sigma^2|\lambda_0|} \Big).$$

Thus

$$M(|\lambda_0|) \det(1 - Z(\sigma)^* Z(\sigma)) =$$
$$\begin{bmatrix} 1 - \frac{|s_1|^2}{9} - \frac{|s_2|^2}{9} + |p|^2 - \frac{|s_1 s_2 - 9p|}{9} \Big(\frac{|\lambda_0|}{\sigma^2} + \frac{\sigma^2}{|\lambda_0|} \Big) & (1 - |\lambda_0|^2) \Big(\frac{w}{\sigma} + \frac{p}{\lambda_0}\sigma\bar{w} \Big) \\ (1 - |\lambda_0|^2) \Big(\frac{\bar{w}}{\sigma} + \frac{\bar{p}}{\lambda_0}\sigma w \Big) & -|\lambda_0|^2 + \frac{|s_1|^2}{9} + \frac{|s_2|^2}{9} - \frac{|p|^2}{|\lambda_0|^2} \\ & - \frac{|s_1 s_2 - 9p|}{9} \Big(\sigma^2|\lambda_0| + \frac{1}{\sigma^2|\lambda_0|} \Big) \end{bmatrix}.$$

Now by a straight forward calculation (see the Appendix)

$$\det(M(|\lambda_0|) \det(1 - Z(\sigma)^* Z(\sigma))) = -(y - y_1)(y - y_2), \tag{34}$$

where

$$y = |s_1 s_2 - 9p| \Big(\sigma^2 + \frac{1}{\sigma^2} \Big),$$
$$y_j = |s_1 s_2 - 9p| Y_j, \quad j = 1, 2.$$

Now

$$Y_1 - Y_2 = \frac{1 - |\lambda_0|^2}{|(s_1 s_2 - 9p)\lambda_0|} \Big(|s_1|^2 - |s_2|^2 \Big) \geq 0$$

implies that $y_2 \leq y_1$. So if $y < y_2$ then $\det M(|\lambda_0|) < 0$. Again if $\xi_1 < \sigma^2 < \xi_2$ then $\sigma^2 + \dfrac{1}{\sigma^2} < Y_2$ and so $y < y_2$. Thus $\det M(|\lambda_0|) < 0$ when $\xi_1 < \sigma^2 < \xi_2$. Hence for any σ satisfying condition (24) we have $\|Z(\sigma)\| < 1$ and $\det M(|\lambda_0|)$ is not positive definite. Moreover for σ, α and Q satisfying conditions (24), (25) and (26) respectively, all conditions of part-(3) of Lemma 3.3 are satisfied and hence the function $G = M_{-Z(\sigma)} \circ (BQ) \in S_{2\times 2}$ and satisfies $[G(0)]_{22} = 0$ and $G(\lambda_0) = Z(\sigma)$. Thus the function F, given by equation (27), has the following properties

$$\|F(\lambda)\| = \|G(\lambda)\mathrm{diag}(\lambda, 1)\| = \|G(\lambda)\| < 1,$$

which implies that $F \in S_{2\times 2}$. Also

$$F(0) = G(0)\begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & * \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

and

$$F(\lambda_0) = G(\lambda_0)\begin{bmatrix} \lambda_0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} = Z(\sigma)\begin{bmatrix} \lambda_0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} s_1/3 & \sigma w \\ \lambda_0\sigma^{-1}w & s_2/3 \end{bmatrix}.$$

Then $\psi = \pi \circ F$ satisfies $\psi(0) = (0, 0, 0)$ and $\psi(\lambda_0) = (s_1, s_2, p) = x$. Since $F \in S_{2\times 2}$ the function ψ is analytic from $\mathbb{D}$ to $\mathbb{E}$. Thus $\psi \in \tilde{\mathrm{I}}$.

For the converse part suppose that $\psi \in \tilde{\mathrm{I}}$. By Fatou's Lemma, ψ has radial limits almost everywhere on $\mathbb{T}$. We denote the radial limit function of ψ, by $\tilde{\psi}$, which maps $\mathbb{T}$ almost everywhere to Γ_3. Now since $h = \dfrac{\psi_1\psi_2}{9} - \psi_3$ is a bounded analytic function on $\mathbb{D}$ (eventually a Schur function by part-4 of Theorem 2.2), by inner-outer factorization, there exist $f, g \in H^\infty$ such that

$$fg = \frac{\psi_1\psi_2}{9} - \psi_3,$$

with $|\tilde{f}| = |\tilde{g}|$ almost everywhere on $\mathbb{T}$. As $fg(0) = 0$ without loss of generality assume $g(0) = 0$ and consider

$$F = \begin{bmatrix} \psi_1/3 & f \\ g & \psi_2/3 \end{bmatrix}. \tag{35}$$

Then clearly $\pi \circ F = \psi$. Again, by Lemma 2.3, diagonal entries of $1 - F^*F$ are $\left(1 - \dfrac{|\psi_1|^2}{9} - |g|^2\right)$ and $\left(1 - \dfrac{|\psi_2|^2}{9} - |f|^2\right)$ and

$$\det(1 - F^*F) = 1 - \frac{|\psi_1|^2}{9} - \frac{|\psi_2|^2}{9} + |\psi_3|^2 - |f|^2 - |g|^2.$$

Since $|\tilde{f}| = |\tilde{g}|$ a.e. on $\mathbb{T}$, by conditions (3), (3′) and (5) of Theorem (2.4), diagonal entries of $1 - F^*F$ are

$$1 - \frac{|\tilde{\psi}_1|^2}{9} - \left|\frac{\tilde{\psi}_1\tilde{\psi}_2}{9} - \tilde{\psi}_3\right| \geq 0 \quad \text{and} \quad 1 - \frac{|\tilde{\psi}_2|^2}{9} - \left|\frac{\tilde{\psi}_1\tilde{\psi}_2}{9} - \tilde{\psi}_3\right| \geq 0$$

a.e. on $\mathbb{T}$ and also

$$\det(1 - F^*F) = 1 - \frac{|\tilde{\psi}_1|^2}{9} - \frac{|\tilde{\psi}_2|^2}{9} + |\tilde{\psi}_3|^2 - \left|\frac{\tilde{\psi}_1\tilde{\psi}_2}{9} - \tilde{\psi}_3\right| \geq 0$$

a.e. on $\mathbb{T}$. Thus by maximum modulus principle F is a Schur Function. Note that

$$F(0) = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & f(0) \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \quad \text{and} \quad \pi \circ F(\lambda_0) = (s_1, s_2, p).$$

Since $s_1 s_2 \neq 9p$, F is non-constant. Thus again by maximum modulus principle $\|F(0)\| < 1$ and hence $F \in S_{2\times 2}$. Thus to complete the proof we need to show that F can be written in the form (27) for some σ, α and Q satisfying (24), (25) and (26). As $s_1 s_2 \neq 9p$, $fg(\lambda_0) \neq 0$ and so both $f(\lambda_0)$ and $g(\lambda_0)$ are nonzero. Now let $\sigma = \dfrac{f(\lambda_0)}{w}$ then $g(\lambda_0) = \dfrac{\lambda_0 w}{\sigma}$ and so

$$F(\lambda_0) = \begin{bmatrix} s_1/3 & \sigma w \\ \lambda_0\sigma^{-1}w & s_2/3 \end{bmatrix}.$$

We may assume that $\sigma > 0$ (otherwise $F(\lambda_0)$ will be negative in that case replace F by U^*FU with $U = \mathrm{diag}(1, -1)$). Consider $G(\lambda) = F(\lambda)\mathrm{diag}(\lambda^{-1}, 1)$, then we have $G \in S_{2\times 2}$ and

$$[G(0)]_{22} = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad G(\lambda_0) = \begin{bmatrix} s_1/3\lambda_0 & \sigma w \\ \sigma^{-1}w & s_2/3 \end{bmatrix} = Z(\sigma).$$

We also have $F(\lambda) = G(\lambda)\mathrm{diag}(\lambda, 1)$. Since we already proved that $\|Z(\sigma)\| < 1$ if and only if $\xi_1 < \sigma^2 < \xi_2$, it follows, from the fact $G \in S_{2\times 2}$, that condition (24) holds for the above choice of σ. Again by part-(2) of Lemma 3.3, there exist some α and Q satisfying the conditions (25) and (26) respectively and also by part-(3) of the same Lemma $G = M_{-Z(\sigma)} \circ BQ$, and so F can be written in the form (27). Hence the proof is complete. ∎

6. Appendix

Proof of equation (20):

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\det(1-Z^*Z)\\
&= 1-\frac{|s_1|^2}{9|\lambda_0|^2}-|w|^2-|w|^2+\frac{|s_1|^2}{9|\lambda_0|^2}|w|^2+|w|^4-\frac{|s_2|^2}{9}+\frac{|s_1|^2|s_2|^2}{81|\lambda_0|^2}+\frac{|w|^2|s_2|^2}{9}\\
&\quad-\frac{|s_1|^2}{9|\lambda_0|^2}|w|^2-\frac{s_1s_2}{9\lambda_0}\bar{w}^2-\frac{\bar{s}_1\bar{s}_2}{9\bar{\lambda}_0}w^2-\frac{|s_2|^2|w|^2}{9}\\
&= 1-\frac{|s_1|^2}{9|\lambda_0|^2}-2|w|^2-\frac{|s_2|^2}{9}+|w|^4-\frac{s_1s_2}{9\lambda_0}\bar{w}^2-\frac{\bar{s}_1\bar{s}_2}{9\bar{\lambda}_0}w^2+\frac{|s_1|^2|s_2|^2}{81|\lambda_0|^2}\\
&= 1-\frac{|s_1|^2}{9|\lambda_0|^2}-\frac{|s_2|^2}{9}-2\frac{|s_1s_2-9p|}{9|\lambda_0|}+\frac{|s_1s_2-9p|^2}{81|\lambda_0|^2}-\frac{\bar{s}_1\bar{s}_2}{9\bar{\lambda}_0}\frac{s_1s_2-9p}{9\lambda_0}\\
&\quad-\frac{s_1s_2}{9\lambda_0}\frac{\bar{s}_1\bar{s}_2-9p}{9\bar{\lambda}_0}+\frac{|s_1|^2|s_2|^2}{81|\lambda_0|^2}\\
&= 1-\frac{|s_1|^2}{9|\lambda_0|^2}-\frac{|s_2|^2}{9}-2\frac{|s_1s_2-9p|}{9|\lambda_0|}+\frac{|s_1s_2-9p|^2}{81|\lambda_0|^2}+\frac{s_1s_2-9p}{81|\lambda_0|^2}(-9\bar{p})+\frac{s_1s_2\bar{p}}{9|\lambda_0|^2}\\
&= 1-\frac{|s_1|^2}{9|\lambda_0|^2}-\frac{|s_2|^2}{9}-2\frac{|s_1s_2-9p|}{9|\lambda_0|}-\frac{s_1s_2\bar{p}}{9|\lambda_0|^2}+\frac{|p|^2}{|\lambda_0|^2}+\frac{s_1s_2\bar{p}}{9|\lambda_0|^2}\\
&= 1-\frac{|s_1|^2}{9|\lambda_0|^2}-\frac{|s_2|^2}{9}-2\frac{|s_1s_2-9p|}{9|\lambda_0|}+\frac{|p|^2}{|\lambda_0|^2}\\
&= \frac{1}{9}\left(9-\frac{|s_1|^2}{|\lambda_0|^2}-|s_2|^2-2\frac{|s_1s_2-9p|}{|\lambda_0|}+\frac{9|p|^2}{|\lambda_0|^2}\right)\\
&= \frac{1}{9}\big(9-|s_1'|^2-|s_2|-2|s_1's_2-9p'|+9|p'|^2\big).
\end{aligned}
$$

Proof of equation (28):

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\det(1-Z^*Z)\\
&= 1-\frac{|s_1|^2}{9|\lambda_0|^2}-\frac{|w|^2}{\sigma^2}-\sigma^2|w|^2+\frac{|s_1|^2\sigma^2}{9|\lambda_0|^2}|w|^2+|w|^4-\frac{|s_2|^2}{9}+\frac{|s_1|^2|s_2|^2}{81|\lambda_0|^2}\\
&\quad+\frac{|w|^2|s_2|^2}{9\sigma^2}-\frac{|s_1|^2\sigma^2}{9|\lambda_0|^2}|w|^2-\frac{s_1s_2}{9\lambda_0}\bar{w}^2-\frac{\bar{s}_1\bar{s}_2}{9\bar{\lambda}_0}w^2-\frac{|s_2|^2|w|^2}{9\sigma^2}\\
&= 1-\frac{|s_1|^2}{9|\lambda_0|^2}-|w|^2(\sigma^2+1/\sigma^2)-\frac{|s_2|^2}{9}+|w|^4-\frac{s_1s_2}{9\lambda_0}\bar{w}^2-\frac{\bar{s}_1\bar{s}_2}{9\bar{\lambda}_0}w^2+\frac{|s_1|^2|s_2|^2}{81|\lambda_0|^2}\\
&= 1-\frac{|s_1|^2}{9|\lambda_0|^2}-\frac{|s_2|^2}{9}-\frac{|s_1s_2-9p|}{9|\lambda_0|}(\sigma^2+1/\sigma^2)+\frac{|s_1s_2-9p|^2}{81|\lambda_0|^2}-\frac{\bar{s}_1\bar{s}_2}{9\bar{\lambda}_0}\frac{s_1s_2-9p}{9\lambda_0}\\
&\quad-\frac{s_1s_2}{9\lambda_0}\frac{\bar{s}_1\bar{s}_2-9p}{9\bar{\lambda}_0}+\frac{|s_1|^2|s_2|^2}{81|\lambda_0|^2}\\
&= 1-\frac{|s_1|^2}{9|\lambda_0|^2}-\frac{|s_2|^2}{9}-\frac{|s_1s_2-9p|}{9|\lambda_0|}(\sigma^2+1/\sigma^2)+\frac{|s_1s_2-9p|^2}{81|\lambda_0|^2}+\frac{s_1s_2-9p}{81|\lambda_0|^2}(-9\bar{p})\\
&\quad+\frac{s_1s_2\bar{p}}{9|\lambda_0|^2}\\
&= 1-\frac{|s_1|^2}{9|\lambda_0|^2}-\frac{|s_2|^2}{9}-\frac{|s_1s_2-9p|}{9|\lambda_0|}(\sigma^2+1/\sigma^2)-\frac{s_1s_2\bar{p}}{9|\lambda_0|^2}+\frac{|p|^2}{|\lambda_0|^2}+\frac{s_1s_2\bar{p}}{9|\lambda_0|^2}\\
&= 1-\frac{|s_1|^2}{9|\lambda_0|^2}-\frac{|s_2|^2}{9}+\frac{|p|^2}{|\lambda_0|^2}-\frac{|s_1s_2-9p|}{9|\lambda_0|}(\sigma+1/\sigma^2).
\end{aligned}
$$

Proof of equation (30):

$$
\begin{aligned}
&= K+1/K-Y_2\\
&= \frac{|\lambda_0|(9-|s_2|^2)}{|s_1s_2-9p|}+\frac{|s_1s_2-9p|}{|\lambda_0|(9-|s_2|^2)}-\frac{|\lambda_0|}{|s_1s_2-9p|}\left(9-\frac{|s_1|^2}{|\lambda_0|^2}-|s_2|^2+\frac{9|p|^2}{|\lambda_0|^2}\right)\\
&= \frac{|\lambda_0|^2(9-|s_2|^2)+|s_1s_2-9p|^2-|\lambda_0|^2(9-|s_2|^2)\left(9-\frac{|s_1|^2}{|\lambda_0|^2}-|s_2|^2+\frac{9|p|^2}{|\lambda_0|^2}\right)}{|\lambda_0|(9-|s_2|^2)|s_1s_2-9p|}\\
&= \frac{|s_1s_2-9p|^2-(9-|s_2|^2)(9|p|^2-|a|^2)}{|\lambda_0|(9-|s_2|^2)|s_1s_2-9p|}\\
&= \frac{9(a\bar{a}-ab\bar{p}-\bar{a}\bar{b}p+p\bar{p}b\bar{b})}{|\lambda_0|(9-|s_2|^2)|s_1s_2-9p|}\\
&= \frac{9|a-\bar{b}p|^2}{|\lambda_0|(9-|s_2|^2)|s_1s_2-9p|}>0.
\end{aligned}
$$

Proof of equation (32):

$$
\begin{aligned}
&[(1-|\lambda_0|^2 Z^*Z)(1-Z^*Z)^{-1}]_{11} \\
&= \frac{1}{\det(1-Z^*Z)}\Bigg[1-\frac{|s_1|^2}{9}-\frac{|\lambda_0|^2|w|^2}{\sigma^2}-\sigma^2|w|^2+\frac{|s_1|^2\sigma^2}{9}|w|^2+|\lambda_0|^2|w|^4 \\
&\qquad\qquad -\frac{|s_2|^2}{9}+\frac{|s_1|^2|s_2|^2}{81}+\frac{|\lambda_0|^2|w|^2|s_2|^2}{9\sigma^2}-\frac{|s_1|^2\sigma^2}{9}|w|^2 \\
&\qquad\qquad -\frac{\bar\lambda_0 s_1 s_2}{9}\bar w^2-\frac{\bar s_1\bar s_2\lambda_0}{9\bar\lambda_0}w^2-\frac{|\lambda_0|^2|s_2|^2|w|^2}{9\sigma^2}\Bigg] \\
&= \frac{1}{\det(1-Z^*Z)}\Bigg[1-\frac{|s_1|^2}{9}-|w|^2\Big(\frac{|\lambda_0|}{\sigma^2}+\frac{\sigma^2}{|\lambda_0|}\Big)-\frac{|s_2|^2}{9}+|\lambda_0|^2|w|^4 \\
&\qquad\qquad -\frac{\bar\lambda_0 s_1 s_2}{9}\bar w^2-\frac{\bar s_1\bar s_2\lambda_0}{9}w^2+\frac{|s_1|^2|s_2|^2}{81}\Bigg] \\
&= \frac{1}{\det(1-Z^*Z)}\Bigg[1-\frac{|s_1|^2}{9}-\frac{|s_2|^2}{9}-\frac{|s_1s_2-9p|}{9}\Big(\frac{|\lambda_0|}{\sigma^2}+\frac{\sigma^2}{|\lambda_0|}\Big)+\frac{|s_1s_2-9p|^2}{81} \\
&\qquad\qquad -\frac{\bar s_1\bar s_2(s_1s_2-9p)}{81}-\frac{s_1s_2(\bar s_1\bar s_2-9p)}{81}+\frac{|s_1|^2|s_2|^2}{81}\Bigg] \\
&= \frac{1}{\det(1-Z^*Z)}\Bigg[1-\frac{|s_1|^2}{9}-\frac{|s_2|^2}{9}-\frac{|s_1s_2-9p|}{9}\Big(\frac{|\lambda_0|}{\sigma^2}+\frac{\sigma^2}{|\lambda_0|}\Big) \\
&\qquad\qquad +\frac{s_1s_2-9p}{81}(-9\bar p)+\frac{s_1s_2\bar p}{9}\Bigg] \\
&= \frac{1}{\det(1-Z^*Z)}\Bigg[1-\frac{|s_1|^2}{9}-\frac{|s_2|^2}{9}-\frac{|s_1s_2-9p|}{9}\Big(\frac{|\lambda_0|}{\sigma^2}+\frac{\sigma^2}{|\lambda_0|}\Big) \\
&\qquad\qquad -\frac{s_1s_2\bar p}{9}+|p|^2+\frac{s_1s_2\bar p}{9}\Bigg] \\
&= \frac{1}{\det(1-Z^*Z)}\Bigg[1-\frac{|s_1|^2}{9}-\frac{|s_2|^2}{9}+|p|^2-\frac{|s_1s_2-9p|}{9}\Big(\frac{|\lambda_0|}{\sigma^2}+\frac{\sigma^2}{|\lambda_0|}\Big)\Bigg].
\end{aligned}
$$

Proof of equation (33):

$$
\begin{aligned}
&[(ZZ^* - |\lambda_0|^2)(1 - ZZ^*)^{-1}]_{22} \\
&= \frac{1}{\det(1 - Z^*Z)} \Bigg[\frac{|s_1|^2|w|^2}{9\sigma|\lambda_0|^2} + \frac{s_1 s_2 \bar{w}^2}{9\lambda_0} + \frac{\bar{s}_1\bar{s}_2 w^2}{9\bar{\lambda}_0} + \frac{|s_2|^2\sigma^2|w|^2}{9} + \frac{|w|^2}{\sigma^2}\frac{|s_2|^2}{9} \\
&\qquad\qquad - |\lambda_0|^2 - \frac{|s_1|^2|w|^2}{9\sigma|\lambda_0|^2} - \frac{|s_1|^2|s_2|^2}{81|\lambda_0|^2} + \frac{|s_1|^2}{9} - |w|^4 \\
&\qquad\qquad - \frac{|s_2|^2\sigma^2|w|^2}{9} + \sigma^2|\lambda_0|^2|w|^2 \Bigg] \\
&= \frac{1}{\det(1 - Z^*Z)} \Bigg[\frac{|s_1|^2}{9} + \frac{|s_2|^2}{9} + \sigma^2|\lambda_0|^2|w|^2 + \frac{|w|^2}{\sigma^2} + \frac{\bar{s}_1\bar{s}_2 w^2}{9\bar{\lambda}_0} - |\lambda_0|^2 \\
&\qquad\qquad + \frac{s_1 s_2 \bar{w}^2}{9\lambda_0} - |w|^4 - \frac{|s_1|^2|s_2|^2}{81|\lambda_0|^2} \Bigg] \\
&= \frac{1}{\det(1 - Z^*Z)} \Bigg[\frac{|s_1|^2}{9} + \frac{|s_2|^2}{9} - |\lambda_0|^2 + \sigma^2|\lambda_0|\frac{|s_1 s_2 - 9p|}{9} + \frac{|s_1 s_2 - 9p|}{9\sigma^2|\lambda_0|} \\
&\qquad\qquad + \frac{\bar{s}_1\bar{s}_2}{9\bar{\lambda}_0}\frac{s_1 s_2 - 9p}{9\lambda_0} + \frac{s_1 s_2}{9\lambda_0}\frac{\bar{s}_1\bar{s}_2 - 9\bar{p}}{9\bar{\lambda}_0} - \frac{|s_1 s_2 - 9p|^2}{81|\lambda_0|^2} - \frac{|s_1|^2|s_2|^2}{81|\lambda_0|^2} \Bigg] \\
&= \frac{1}{\det(1 - Z^*Z)} \Bigg[\frac{|s_1|^2}{9} + \frac{|s_2|^2}{9} - |\lambda_0|^2 + \frac{|s_1 s_2 - 9p|}{9}\Big(\sigma^2|\lambda_0| + \frac{1}{\sigma^2|\lambda_0|}\Big) \\
&\qquad\qquad + \frac{|s_1|^2|s_2|^2}{81|\lambda_0|^2} - \frac{\bar{s}_1\bar{s}_2 p}{9|\lambda_0|^2} + \frac{\bar{s}_1\bar{s}_2 - 9\bar{p}}{81|\lambda_0|^2}(9p) - \frac{|s_1|^2|s_2|^2}{81|\lambda_0|^2} \Bigg] \\
&= \frac{1}{\det(1 - Z^*Z)} \Bigg[\frac{|s_1|^2}{9} + \frac{|s_2|^2}{9} - |\lambda_0|^2 + \frac{|s_1 s_2 - 9p|}{9}\Big(\sigma^2|\lambda_0| + \frac{1}{\sigma^2|\lambda_0|}\Big) - \frac{|p|^2}{|\lambda_0|^2} \Bigg].
\end{aligned}
$$

Proof of equation (34):

L.H.S

$$
\begin{aligned}
&= \det(M(|\lambda_0|)\det(1-Z(\sigma)^*Z(\sigma)))\\
&= -|\lambda_0|^2+\frac{|s_1|^2}{9}+\frac{|s_2|^2}{9}-\frac{|p|^2}{|\lambda_0|^2}-\frac{|s_1s_2-9p|}{9}\Big(\sigma^2|\lambda_0|+\frac{1}{\sigma^2|\lambda_0|}\Big)\\
&\quad+\frac{|\lambda_0|^2|s_1|^2}{9}-\frac{|s_1|^4}{81}-\frac{|s_1|^2|s_2|^2}{81}+\frac{|s_1|^2|p|^2}{9|\lambda_0|^2}\\
&\quad-\frac{|s_1|^2|s_1s_2-9p|}{81}\Big(\sigma^2|\lambda_0|+\frac{1}{\sigma^2|\lambda_0|}\Big)+\frac{|s_2|^2|\lambda_0|^2}{9}-\frac{|s_2|^2|s_1|^2}{81}-\frac{|s_2|^4}{81}+\frac{|s_2|^2|p|^2}{9|\lambda_0|^2}\\
&\quad-\frac{|s_2|^2|s_1s_2-9p|}{81}\Big(\sigma^2|\lambda_0|+\frac{1}{\sigma^2|\lambda_0|}\Big)-|\lambda_0|^2|p|^2+\frac{|s_1|^2|p|^2}{9}+\frac{|s_2|^2|p|^2}{9}-\frac{|p|^4}{|\lambda_0|^2}\\
&\quad-\frac{|s_1s_2-9p||p|^2}{9}\Big(\sigma^2|\lambda_0|+\frac{1}{\sigma^2|\lambda_0|}\Big)+\frac{|s_1s_2-9p|}{9}\Big(\frac{|\lambda_0|^3}{\sigma^2}+\sigma^2|\lambda_0|\Big)\\
&\quad-\frac{|s_1s_2-9p|}{9}\Big(\frac{|\lambda_0|}{\sigma^2}+\frac{\sigma^2}{|\lambda_0|}\Big)\Big(\frac{|s_1|^2}{9}+\frac{|s_2|^2}{9}-\frac{|p|^2}{|\lambda_0|^2}\Big)\\
&\quad-\frac{|s_1s_2-9p|^2}{81}\Big(|\lambda_0|^2+\sigma^4+\frac{1}{\sigma^4}+\frac{1}{|\lambda_0|^2}\Big)\\
&\quad-(1-|\lambda_0|^2)^2\Big(\frac{|w|^2}{\sigma^2}+\frac{\bar{p}w^2}{\bar{\lambda}_0}+\frac{p\bar{w}^2}{\lambda_0}+\frac{|p|^2|w|^2\sigma^2}{|\lambda_0|^2}\Big)\\
&= \Bigg[-|\lambda_0|^2+\frac{|s_1|^2}{9}+\frac{|s_2|^2}{9}+\frac{|\lambda_0|^2|s_1|^2}{9}-\frac{|s_1|^4}{81}+\frac{|s_1|^2|p|^2}{9|\lambda_0|^2}+\frac{|s_2|^2|\lambda_0|^2}{9}-\frac{|s_2|^4}{81}\\
&\quad+\frac{|s_2|^2|p|^2}{9|\lambda_0|^2}+\frac{|s_1|^2|p|^2}{9}+\frac{|s_2|^2|p|^2}{9}-\frac{|p|^4}{|\lambda_0|^2}\Bigg]-\frac{|p|^2}{|\lambda_0|^2}-\frac{|s_1s_2-9p|}{9}\sigma^2|\lambda_0|\\
&\quad-\frac{|s_1s_2-9p|}{9\sigma^2|\lambda_0|}-2\frac{|s_1|^2|s_2|^2}{81}-\frac{|s_1|^2|s_1s_2-9p|}{81}\sigma^2|\lambda_0|-\frac{|s_1|^2|s_1s_2-9p|}{81\sigma^2|\lambda_0|}\\
&\quad-\frac{|s_2|^2|s_1s_2-9p|}{81}\sigma^2|\lambda_0|-\frac{|s_2|^2|s_1s_2-9p|}{81\sigma^2|\lambda_0|}-|\lambda_0|^2|p|^2-\frac{|s_1s_2-9p||p|^2}{9}\sigma^2|\lambda_0|\\
&\quad-\frac{|s_1s_2-9p||p|^2}{9\sigma^2|\lambda_0|}+\frac{|s_1s_2-9p||\lambda_0|^3}{9\sigma^2}+\frac{|s_1s_2-9p|}{9}\sigma^2|\lambda_0|-\frac{|s_1|^2|s_1s_2-9p||\lambda_0|}{81\sigma^2}\\
&\quad-\frac{|s_1|^2|s_1s_2-9p|\sigma^2}{81|\lambda_0|}-\frac{|s_2|^2|s_1s_2-9p||\lambda_0|}{81\sigma^2}-\frac{|s_2|^2|s_1s_2-9p|\sigma^2}{81|\lambda_0|}\\
&\quad+\frac{|p|^2|s_1s_2-9p||\lambda_0|}{9\sigma^2|\lambda_0|^2}-\frac{|p|^2|s_1s_2-9p|\sigma^2}{9|\lambda_0||\lambda_0|^2}-\frac{|s_1s_2-9p|^2}{81}|\lambda_0|^2\\
&\quad-\frac{|s_1s_2-9p|^2}{81}\sigma^4-\frac{|s_1s_2-9p|^2}{81\sigma^4}-\frac{|s_1s_2-9p|^2}{81|\lambda_0|^2}\\
&\quad-(1-|\lambda_0|^2)^2\Big(\frac{|w|^2}{\sigma^2}+\frac{\bar{p}w^2}{\bar{\lambda}_0}+\frac{p\bar{w}^2}{\lambda_0}+\frac{|p|^2|w|^2\sigma^2}{|\lambda_0|^2}\Big)
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
=\Bigg[& -|\lambda_0|^2+\frac{|s_1|^2}{9}+\frac{|s_2|^2}{9}+\frac{|\lambda_0|^2|s_1|^2}{9}-\frac{|s_1|^4}{81}+\frac{|s_1|^2|p|^2}{9|\lambda_0|^2}+\frac{|s_2|^2|\lambda_0|^2}{9}\\
& -\frac{|s_2|^4}{81}+\frac{|s_2|^2|p|^2}{9|\lambda_0|^2}+\frac{|s_1|^2|p|^2}{9}+\frac{|s_2|^2|p|^2}{9}-\frac{|p|^4}{|\lambda_0|^2}-\frac{|s_1|^2|s_1s_2-9p|}{81}\sigma^2|\lambda_0|\\
& -\frac{|s_1|^2|s_1s_2-9p|}{81\sigma^2|\lambda_0|}-\frac{|s_2|^2|s_1s_2-9p|}{81}\sigma^2|\lambda_0|-\frac{|s_2|^2|s_1s_2-9p|}{81\sigma^2|\lambda_0|}\\
& -\frac{|s_1s_2-9p||p|^2}{9\sigma^2|\lambda_0|}+\frac{|s_1s_2-9p|}{9}\sigma^2|\lambda_0|-\frac{|s_1|^2|s_1s_2-9p||\lambda_0|}{81\sigma^2}\\
& -\frac{|s_1|^2|s_1s_2-9p|\sigma^2}{81|\lambda_0|}-\frac{|s_2|^2|s_1s_2-9p||\lambda_0|}{81\sigma^2}-\frac{|s_2|^2|s_1s_2-9p|\sigma^2}{81|\lambda_0|}+\frac{|p|^2|s_1s_2-9p|}{9\sigma^2|\lambda_0|}\\
& -\frac{|s_1s_2-9p|^2}{81}\sigma^4-\frac{|s_1s_2-9p|^2}{81\sigma^4}\Bigg]-\frac{|p|^2}{|\lambda_0|^2}-\frac{|s_1s_2-9p|}{9}\sigma^2|\lambda_0|-\frac{|s_1s_2-9p|}{9\sigma^2|\lambda_0|}\\
& -2\frac{|s_1|^2|s_2|^2}{81}-|\lambda_0|^2|p|^2+\frac{|s_1s_2-9p||p|^2}{9}\sigma^2|\lambda_0|+\frac{|s_1s_2-9p||\lambda_0|^3}{9\sigma^2}\\
& +\frac{|p|^2|s_1s_2-9p|\sigma^2}{9|\lambda_0|^3}-\frac{|s_1s_2-9p|^2}{81}|\lambda_0|^2-\frac{|s_1s_2-9p|^2}{81|\lambda_0|^2}\\
& -(1-2|\lambda_0|^2+|\lambda_0|^4)\frac{|s_1s_2-9p|}{9\lambda_0\sigma^2}-(1-2|\lambda_0|^2+|\lambda_0|^4)\frac{(s_1s_2\bar{p}-9|p|^2)}{9|\lambda_0|^2}\\
& -(1-2|\lambda_0|^2+|\lambda_0|^4)\frac{(\bar{s}_1\bar{s}_2p-9|p|^2)}{9|\lambda_0|^2}-(1-2|\lambda_0|^2+|\lambda_0|^4)\frac{|p|^2\sigma^2|s_1s_2-9p|}{9|\lambda_0|^3}\\
=\Bigg[& -|\lambda_0|^2+\frac{|s_1|^2}{9}+\frac{|s_2|^2}{9}+\frac{|\lambda_0|^2|s_1|^2}{9}-\frac{|s_1|^4}{81}+\frac{|s_1|^2|p|^2}{9|\lambda_0|^2}+\frac{|s_2|^2|\lambda_0|^2}{9}\\
& -\frac{|s_2|^4}{81}+\frac{|s_2|^2|p|^2}{9|\lambda_0|^2}+\frac{|s_1|^2|p|^2}{9}+\frac{|s_2|^2|p|^2}{9}-\frac{|p|^4}{|\lambda_0|^2}-\frac{|s_1|^2|s_1s_2-9p|}{81}\sigma^2|\lambda_0|\\
& -\frac{|s_1|^2|s_1s_2-9p|}{81\sigma^2|\lambda_0|}-\frac{|s_2|^2|s_1s_2-9p|}{81}\sigma^2|\lambda_0|-\frac{|s_2|^2|s_1s_2-9p|}{81\sigma^2|\lambda_0|}-\frac{|s_1s_2-9p||p|^2}{9\sigma^2|\lambda_0|}\\
& +\frac{|s_1s_2-9p|}{9}\sigma^2|\lambda_0|-\frac{|s_1|^2|s_1s_2-9p||\lambda_0|}{81\sigma^2}-\frac{|s_1|^2|s_1s_2-9p|\sigma^2}{81|\lambda_0|}\\
& -\frac{|s_2|^2|s_1s_2-9p||\lambda_0|}{81\sigma^2}-\frac{|s_2|^2|s_1s_2-9p|\sigma^2}{81|\lambda_0|}+\frac{|p|^2|s_1s_2-9p|}{9\sigma^2|\lambda_0|}-\frac{|s_1s_2-9p|^2}{81}\sigma^4\\
& -\frac{|s_1s_2-9p|^2}{81\sigma^4}\Bigg]-\frac{|p|^2}{|\lambda_0|^2}-\frac{|s_1s_2-9p|}{9}\sigma^2|\lambda_0|-2\frac{|s_1|^2|s_2|^2}{81}-|\lambda_0|^2|p|^2\\
& +\frac{|s_1s_2-9p||p|^2}{9}\sigma^2|\lambda_0|-\frac{|s_1s_2-9p|^2}{81}|\lambda_0|^2-\frac{|s_1s_2-9p|^2}{81|\lambda_0|^2}\\
& -(1-2|\lambda_0|^2+|\lambda_0|^4)\frac{(s_1s_2\bar{p}-9|p|^2)}{9|\lambda_0|^2}-(1-2|\lambda_0|^2+|\lambda_0|^4)\frac{(\bar{s}_1\bar{s}_2p-9|p|^2)}{9|\lambda_0|^2}\\
& +2\frac{|\lambda_0||s_1s_2-9p|}{9\sigma^2}+2\frac{|p|^2\sigma^2|s_1s_2-9p|}{9|\lambda_0|}-\frac{|\lambda_0||p|^2\sigma^2|s_1s_2-9p|}{9}
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
&= \Bigg[- |\lambda_0|^2 + \frac{|s_1|^2}{9} + \frac{|s_2|^2}{9} + \frac{|\lambda_0|^2|s_1|^2}{9} - \frac{|s_1|^4}{81} + \frac{|s_1|^2|p|^2}{9|\lambda_0|^2} + \frac{|s_2|^2|\lambda_0|^2}{9} - \frac{|s_2|^4}{81} + \frac{|s_2|^2|p|^2}{9|\lambda_0|^2} \\
&\quad + \frac{|s_1|^2|p|^2}{9} + \frac{|s_2|^2|p|^2}{9} - \frac{|p|^4}{|\lambda_0|^2} - \frac{|s_1|^2|s_1s_2-9p|}{81}\sigma^2|\lambda_0| - \frac{|s_1|^2|s_1s_2-9p|}{81\sigma^2|\lambda_0|} \\
&\quad - \frac{|s_2|^2|s_1s_2-9p|}{81}\sigma^2|\lambda_0| - \frac{|s_2|^2|s_1s_2-9p|}{81\sigma^2|\lambda_0|} - \frac{|s_1s_2-9p||p|^2}{9\sigma^2|\lambda_0|} + \frac{|s_1s_2-9p|}{9}\sigma^2|\lambda_0| \\
&\quad - \frac{|s_1|^2|s_1s_2-9p||\lambda_0|}{81\sigma^2} - \frac{|s_1|^2|s_1s_2-9p|\sigma^2}{81|\lambda_0|} - \frac{|s_2|^2|s_1s_2-9p||\lambda_0|}{81\sigma^2} - \frac{|s_2|^2|s_1s_2-9p|\sigma^2}{81|\lambda_0|} \\
&\quad + \frac{|p|^2|s_1s_2-9p|}{9\sigma^2|\lambda_0|} - \frac{|s_1s_2-9p|^2}{81}\sigma^4 - \frac{|s_1s_2-9p|^2}{81\sigma^4} - \frac{|s_1s_2-9p|}{9}\sigma^2|\lambda_0| \Bigg] - \frac{|p|^2}{|\lambda_0|^2} \\
&\quad - 2\frac{|s_1|^2|s_2|^2}{81} - |\lambda_0|^2|p|^2 + \frac{|s_1s_2-9p||p|^2}{9}\sigma^2|\lambda_0| - \frac{|s_1s_2-9p|^2}{81}|\lambda_0|^2 - \frac{|s_1s_2-9p|^2}{81|\lambda_0|^2} \\
&\quad - \frac{s_1s_2\bar{p}}{9|\lambda_0|^2}(1-2|\lambda_0|^2+|\lambda_0|^4) - \frac{\bar{s}_1\bar{s}_2p}{9|\lambda_0|^2}(1-2|\lambda_0|^2+|\lambda_0|^4) + 2\frac{|p|^2}{|\lambda_0|^2}(1-2|\lambda_0|^2+|\lambda_0|^4) \\
&\quad + 2\frac{|\lambda_0||s_1s_2-9p|}{9\sigma^2} + 2\frac{|p|^2\sigma^2|s_1s_2-9p|}{9|\lambda_0|} - \frac{|\lambda_0||p|^2\sigma^2|s_1s_2-9p|}{9} \\
&= \Bigg[- |\lambda_0|^2 + \frac{|s_1|^2}{9} + \frac{|s_2|^2}{9} + \frac{|\lambda_0|^2|s_1|^2}{9} - \frac{|s_1|^4}{81} + \frac{|s_1|^2|p|^2}{9|\lambda_0|^2} + \frac{|s_2|^2|\lambda_0|^2}{9} - \frac{|s_2|^4}{81} + \frac{|s_2|^2|p|^2}{9|\lambda_0|^2} \\
&\quad + \frac{|s_1|^2|p|^2}{9} + \frac{|s_2|^2|p|^2}{9} - \frac{|p|^4}{|\lambda_0|^2} - \frac{|s_1|^2|s_1s_2-9p|}{81}\sigma^2|\lambda_0| - \frac{|s_1|^2|s_1s_2-9p|}{81\sigma^2|\lambda_0|} \\
&\quad - \frac{|s_2|^2|s_1s_2-9p|}{81}\sigma^2|\lambda_0| - \frac{|s_2|^2|s_1s_2-9p|}{81\sigma^2|\lambda_0|} - \frac{|s_1s_2-9p||p|^2}{9\sigma^2|\lambda_0|} + \frac{|s_1s_2-9p|}{9}\sigma^2|\lambda_0| \\
&\quad - \frac{|s_1|^2|s_1s_2-9p||\lambda_0|}{81\sigma^2} - \frac{|s_1|^2|s_1s_2-9p|\sigma^2}{81|\lambda_0|} - \frac{|s_2|^2|s_1s_2-9p||\lambda_0|}{81\sigma^2} - \frac{|s_2|^2|s_1s_2-9p|\sigma^2}{81|\lambda_0|} \\
&\quad + \frac{|p|^2|s_1s_2-9p|}{9\sigma^2|\lambda_0|} - \frac{|s_1s_2-9p|^2}{81}\sigma^4 - \frac{|s_1s_2-9p|^2}{81\sigma^4} - \frac{|s_1s_2-9p|}{9}\sigma^2|\lambda_0| \Bigg] - \frac{|p|^2}{|\lambda_0|^2} \\
&\quad - 2\frac{|s_1|^2|s_2|^2}{81} - |\lambda_0|^2|p|^2 + \frac{|s_1s_2-9p||p|^2}{9}\sigma^2|\lambda_0| - \frac{|s_1s_2-9p|^2}{81}|\lambda_0|^2 - \frac{|s_1s_2-9p|^2}{81|\lambda_0|^2} \\
&\quad - \frac{s_1s_2\bar{p}}{9|\lambda_0|^2} + \frac{2s_1s_2\bar{p}}{9} - \frac{s_1s_2\bar{p}|\lambda_0|^2}{9} - \frac{\bar{s}_1\bar{s}_2p}{9|\lambda_0|^2} + \frac{2\bar{s}_1\bar{s}_2p}{9} - \frac{\bar{s}_1\bar{s}_2p|\lambda_0|^2}{9} + 2\frac{|p|^2}{|\lambda_0|^2} \\
&\quad - 4|p|^2 + 2|p|^2|\lambda_0|^2 + 2\frac{|\lambda_0||s_1s_2-9p|}{9\sigma^2} + 2\frac{|p|^2\sigma^2|s_1s_2-9p|}{9|\lambda_0|} - \frac{|\lambda_0||p|^2\sigma^2|s_1s_2-9p|}{9}
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
&= \Bigg[- |\lambda_0|^2 + \frac{|s_1|^2}{9} + \frac{|s_2|^2}{9} + \frac{|\lambda_0|^2|s_1|^2}{9} - \frac{|s_1|^4}{81} + \frac{|s_1|^2|p|^2}{9|\lambda_0|^2} + \frac{|s_2|^2|\lambda_0|^2}{9} - \frac{|s_2|^4}{81} + \frac{|s_2|^2|p|^2}{9|\lambda_0|^2} \\
&\quad + \frac{|s_1|^2|p|^2}{9} + \frac{|s_2|^2|p|^2}{9} - \frac{|p|^4}{|\lambda_0|^2} - \frac{|s_1|^2|s_1s_2 - 9p|}{81}\sigma^2|\lambda_0| - \frac{|s_1|^2|s_1s_2 - 9p|}{81\sigma^2|\lambda_0|} \\
&\quad - \frac{|s_2|^2|s_1s_2 - 9p|}{81}\sigma^2|\lambda_0| - \frac{|s_2|^2|s_1s_2 - 9p|}{81\sigma^2|\lambda_0|} - \frac{|s_1s_2 - 9p||p|^2}{9\sigma^2|\lambda_0|} + \frac{|s_1s_2 - 9p|}{9}\sigma^2|\lambda_0| \\
&\quad - \frac{|s_1|^2|s_1s_2 - 9p||\lambda_0|}{81\sigma^2} - \frac{|s_1|^2|s_1s_2 - 9p|\sigma^2}{81|\lambda_0|} - \frac{|s_2|^2|s_1s_2 - 9p||\lambda_0|}{81\sigma^2} - \frac{|s_2|^2|s_1s_2 - 9p|\sigma^2}{81|\lambda_0|} \\
&\quad + \frac{|p|^2|s_1s_2 - 9p|}{9\sigma^2|\lambda_0|} - \frac{|s_1s_2 - 9p|^2}{81}\sigma^4 - \frac{|s_1s_2 - 9p|^2}{81\sigma^4} - \frac{|s_1s_2 - 9p|}{9}\sigma^2|\lambda_0| \Bigg] + \frac{|p|^2}{|\lambda_0|^2} \\
&\quad - 2\frac{|s_1|^2|s_2|^2}{81} + |\lambda_0|^2|p|^2 + \frac{|s_1s_2 - 9p||p|^2}{9}\sigma^2|\lambda_0| - \frac{|s_1s_2|^2|\lambda_0|^2}{81} + \frac{s_1s_2\bar{p}|\lambda_0|^2}{9} \\
&\quad + \frac{\bar{s}_1\bar{s}_2p|\lambda_0|^2}{9} - |p|^2|\lambda_0|^2 - \frac{|s_1s_2|^2}{81|\lambda_0|^2} + \frac{s_1s_2\bar{p}}{9|\lambda_0|^2} + \frac{\bar{s}_1\bar{s}_2p}{9|\lambda_0|^2} - \frac{|p|^2}{|\lambda_0|^2} - \frac{s_1s_2\bar{p}}{9|\lambda_0|^2} \\
&\quad + \frac{2s_1s_2\bar{p}}{9} - \frac{s_1s_2\bar{p}|\lambda_0|^2}{9} - \frac{\bar{s}_1\bar{s}_2p}{9|\lambda_0|^2} + \frac{2\bar{s}_1\bar{s}_2p}{9} - \frac{\bar{s}_1\bar{s}_2p|\lambda_0|^2}{9} - 4|p|^2 \\
&\quad + 2\frac{|\lambda_0||s_1s_2 - 9p|}{9\sigma^2} + 2\frac{|p|^2\sigma^2|s_1s_2 - 9p|}{9|\lambda_0|} - \frac{|\lambda_0||p|^2\sigma^2|s_1s_2 - 9p|}{9} \\
&= \Bigg[- |\lambda_0|^2 + \frac{|s_1|^2}{9} + \frac{|s_2|^2}{9} + \frac{|\lambda_0|^2|s_1|^2}{9} - \frac{|s_1|^4}{81} + \frac{|s_1|^2|p|^2}{9|\lambda_0|^2} + \frac{|s_2|^2|\lambda_0|^2}{9} - \frac{|s_2|^4}{81} + \frac{|s_2|^2|p|^2}{9|\lambda_0|^2} \\
&\quad + \frac{|s_1|^2|p|^2}{9} + \frac{|s_2|^2|p|^2}{9} - \frac{|p|^4}{|\lambda_0|^2} - \frac{|s_1|^2|s_1s_2 - 9p|}{81}\sigma^2|\lambda_0| - \frac{|s_1|^2|s_1s_2 - 9p|}{81\sigma^2|\lambda_0|} \\
&\quad - \frac{|s_2|^2|s_1s_2 - 9p|}{81}\sigma^2|\lambda_0| - \frac{|s_2|^2|s_1s_2 - 9p|}{81\sigma^2|\lambda_0|} - \frac{|s_1s_2 - 9p||p|^2}{9\sigma^2|\lambda_0|} + \frac{|s_1s_2 - 9p|}{9}\sigma^2|\lambda_0| \\
&\quad - \frac{|s_1|^2|s_1s_2 - 9p||\lambda_0|}{81\sigma^2} - \frac{|s_1|^2|s_1s_2 - 9p|\sigma^2}{81|\lambda_0|} - \frac{|s_2|^2|s_1s_2 - 9p||\lambda_0|}{81\sigma^2} - \frac{|s_2|^2|s_1s_2 - 9p|\sigma^2}{81|\lambda_0|} \\
&\quad + \frac{|p|^2|s_1s_2 - 9p|}{9\sigma^2|\lambda_0|} - \frac{|s_1s_2 - 9p|^2}{81}\sigma^4 - \frac{|s_1s_2 - 9p|^2}{81\sigma^4} - \frac{|s_1s_2 - 9p|}{9}\sigma^2|\lambda_0| \Bigg] - 2\frac{|s_1|^2|s_2|^2}{81} \\
&\quad + \frac{|s_1s_2 - 9p||p|^2}{9}\sigma^2|\lambda_0| - \frac{|s_1s_2|^2|\lambda_0|^2}{81} - \frac{|s_1s_2|^2}{81|\lambda_0|^2} + \frac{2s_1s_2\bar{p}}{9} + \frac{2\bar{s}_1\bar{s}_2p}{9} - 4|p|^2 \\
&\quad + 2\frac{|\lambda_0||s_1s_2 - 9p|}{9\sigma^2} + 2\frac{|p|^2\sigma^2|s_1s_2 - 9p|}{9|\lambda_0|} - \frac{|\lambda_0||p|^2\sigma^2|s_1s_2 - 9p|}{9}
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
&= -|\lambda_0|^2 + \frac{|s_1|^2}{9} + \frac{|s_2|^2}{9} + \frac{|\lambda_0|^2|s_1|^2}{9} - \frac{|s_1|^4}{81} + \frac{|s_1|^2|p|^2}{9|\lambda_0|^2} + \frac{|s_2|^2|\lambda_0|^2}{9} - \frac{|s_2|^4}{81} + \frac{|s_2|^2|p|^2}{9|\lambda_0|^2} \\
&\quad + \frac{|s_1|^2|p|^2}{9} + \frac{|s_2|^2|p|^2}{9} - \frac{|p|^4}{|\lambda_0|^2} - \frac{|s_1|^2|s_1s_2 - 9p|}{81}\sigma^2|\lambda_0| - \frac{|s_1|^2|s_1s_2 - 9p|}{81\sigma^2|\lambda_0|} \\
&\quad - \frac{|s_2|^2|s_1s_2 - 9p|}{81}\sigma^2|\lambda_0| - \frac{|s_2|^2|s_1s_2 - 9p|}{81\sigma^2|\lambda_0|} - \frac{|s_1s_2 - 9p||p|^2}{9\sigma^2|\lambda_0|} + \frac{|s_1s_2 - 9p|}{9}\sigma^2|\lambda_0| \\
&\quad - \frac{|s_1|^2|s_1s_2 - 9p||\lambda_0|}{81\sigma^2} - \frac{|s_1|^2|s_1s_2 - 9p|\sigma^2}{81|\lambda_0|} - \frac{|s_2|^2|s_1s_2 - 9p||\lambda_0|}{81\sigma^2} - \frac{|s_2|^2|s_1s_2 - 9p|\sigma^2}{81|\lambda_0|} \\
&\quad + \frac{|p|^2|s_1s_2 - 9p|}{9\sigma^2|\lambda_0|} - \frac{|s_1s_2 - 9p|^2}{81}\sigma^4 - \frac{|s_1s_2 - 9p|^2}{81\sigma^4} - \frac{|s_1s_2 - 9p|}{9}\sigma^2|\lambda_0| - \frac{|s_1s_2|^2|\lambda_0|^2}{81} \\
&\quad - \frac{|s_1s_2|^2}{81|\lambda_0|^2} - 2\frac{|s_1|^2|s_2|^2}{81} - 4|p|^2 + \frac{2s_1s_2\bar{p}}{9} + \frac{2\bar{s}_1\bar{s}_2p}{9} \\
&\quad + 2\frac{|\lambda_0||s_1s_2 - 9p|}{9\sigma^2} + 2\frac{|p|^2\sigma^2|s_1s_2 - 9p|}{9|\lambda_0|}.
\end{aligned}
$$

R.H.S

$$
\begin{aligned}
&= -(y - y_1)(y - y_2) \\
&= -\left[\frac{|s_1s_2 - 9p|}{9}\big(\sigma^2 + 1/\sigma^2\big) - \frac{|s_1s_2 - 9p|}{9}Y_1\right]\left[\frac{|s_1s_2 - 9p|}{9}\big(\sigma^2 + 1/\sigma^2\big) - \frac{|s_1s_2 - 9p|}{9}Y_2\right] \\
&= -\left[\frac{|s_1s_2 - 9p|}{9}\big(\sigma^2 + 1/\sigma^2\big) - |\lambda_0| + \frac{|s_1|^2|\lambda_0|}{9} + \frac{|s_2|^2}{9|\lambda_0|} - \frac{|p|^2}{|\lambda_0|}\right]\times \\
&\qquad \left[\frac{|s_1s_2 - 9p|}{9}\big(\sigma^2 + 1/\sigma^2\big) - |\lambda_0| + \frac{|s_1|^2}{9|\lambda_0|} + \frac{|s_2|^2|\lambda_0|}{9} - \frac{|p|^2}{|\lambda_0|}\right] \\
&= -\frac{|s_1s_2 - 9p|^2}{81}\big(\sigma^4 + 2 + 1/\sigma^4\big) + \frac{|s_1s_2 - 9p|}{9}\big(\sigma^2 + 1/\sigma^2\big)|\lambda_0| - \frac{|s_1s_2 - 9p|}{81}\big(\sigma^2 + 1/\sigma^2\big)|s_1|^2|\lambda_0| \\
&\quad - \frac{|s_1s_2 - 9p||s_2|^2}{81|\lambda_0|}\big(\sigma^2 + 1/\sigma^2\big) + \frac{|s_1s_2 - 9p||p|^2}{9|\lambda_0|}\big(\sigma^2 + 1/\sigma^2\big) + \frac{|s_1s_2 - 9p||\lambda_0|}{9}\big(\sigma^2 + 1/\sigma^2\big) \\
&\quad - |\lambda_0|^2 + \frac{|s_1|^2|\lambda_0|^2}{9} + \frac{|s_2|^2}{9} - |p|^2 - \frac{|s_1s_2 - 9p||s_1|^2}{81|\lambda_0|}\big(\sigma^2 + 1/\sigma^2\big) \\
&\quad + \frac{|s_1|^2}{9} - \frac{|s_1|^4}{81} - \frac{|s_1|^2|s_2|^2|\lambda_0|^2}{81} + \frac{|s_1|^2|p|^2}{9} - \frac{|s_1s_2 - 9p|}{81}\big(\sigma^2 + 1/\sigma^2\big)|s_2|^2|\lambda_0| \\
&\quad + \frac{|s_2|^2|\lambda_0|^2}{9} - \frac{|s_1|^2|s_2|^2}{81|\lambda_0|^2} - \frac{|s_2|^4}{81} + \frac{|p|^2|s_2|^2}{9} + \frac{|s_1s_2 - 9p||p|^2}{9|\lambda_0|}\big(\sigma^2 + 1/\sigma^2\big) \\
&\quad - |p|^2 + \frac{|s_1|^2|p|^2}{9|\lambda_0|^2} + \frac{|s_2|^2|p|^2}{9|\lambda_0|^2} - \frac{|p|^4}{|\lambda_0|^2}
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
&= \Bigg[- |\lambda_0|^2 + \frac{|s_1|^2}{9} + \frac{|s_2|^2}{9} + \frac{|\lambda_0|^2|s_1|^2}{9} - \frac{|s_1|^4}{81} + \frac{|s_1|^2|p|^2}{9|\lambda_0|^2} + \frac{|s_2|^2|\lambda_0|^2}{9} - \frac{|s_2|^4}{81} + \frac{|s_2|^2|p|^2}{9|\lambda_0|^2} \\
&\quad + \frac{|s_1|^2|p|^2}{9} + \frac{|s_2|^2|p|^2}{9} - \frac{|p|^4}{|\lambda_0|^2} - \frac{|s_1|^2|s_1s_2 - 9p|}{81}\sigma^2|\lambda_0| - \frac{|s_1|^2|s_1s_2 - 9p|}{81\sigma^2|\lambda_0|} \\
&\quad - \frac{|s_2|^2|s_1s_2 - 9p|}{81}\sigma^2|\lambda_0| - \frac{|s_2|^2|s_1s_2 - 9p|}{81\sigma^2|\lambda_0|} - \frac{|s_1s_2 - 9p||p|^2}{9\sigma^2|\lambda_0|} + \frac{|s_1s_2 - 9p|}{9}\sigma^2|\lambda_0| \\
&\quad - \frac{|s_1|^2|s_1s_2 - 9p||\lambda_0|}{81\sigma^2} - \frac{|s_1|^2|s_1s_2 - 9p|\sigma^2}{81|\lambda_0|} - \frac{|s_2|^2|s_1s_2 - 9p||\lambda_0|}{81\sigma^2} - \frac{|s_2|^2|s_1s_2 - 9p|\sigma^2}{81|\lambda_0|} \\
&\quad + \frac{|p|^2|s_1s_2 - 9p|}{9\sigma^2|\lambda_0|} - \frac{|s_1s_2 - 9p|^2}{81}\sigma^4 - \frac{|s_1s_2 - 9p|^2}{81\sigma^4} - \frac{|s_1s_2 - 9p|}{9}\sigma^2|\lambda_0| \Bigg] - 2|p|^2 \\
&\quad - 2\frac{|s_1s_2 - 9p|^2}{81} + 2\frac{|s_1s_2 - 9p||\lambda_0|}{9\sigma^2} + 2\frac{|s_1s_2 - 9p||p|^2\sigma^2}{9|\lambda_0|} - \frac{|s_1s_2|^2|\lambda_0|^2}{81} - \frac{|s_1s_2|^2}{81|\lambda_0|^2} \\
&= \Bigg[- |\lambda_0|^2 + \frac{|s_1|^2}{9} + \frac{|s_2|^2}{9} + \frac{|\lambda_0|^2|s_1|^2}{9} - \frac{|s_1|^4}{81} + \frac{|s_1|^2|p|^2}{9|\lambda_0|^2} + \frac{|s_2|^2|\lambda_0|^2}{9} - \frac{|s_2|^4}{81} + \frac{|s_2|^2|p|^2}{9|\lambda_0|^2} \\
&\quad + \frac{|s_1|^2|p|^2}{9} + \frac{|s_2|^2|p|^2}{9} - \frac{|p|^4}{|\lambda_0|^2} - \frac{|s_1|^2|s_1s_2 - 9p|}{81}\sigma^2|\lambda_0| - \frac{|s_1|^2|s_1s_2 - 9p|}{81\sigma^2|\lambda_0|} \\
&\quad - \frac{|s_2|^2|s_1s_2 - 9p|}{81}\sigma^2|\lambda_0| - \frac{|s_2|^2|s_1s_2 - 9p|}{81\sigma^2|\lambda_0|} - \frac{|s_1s_2 - 9p||p|^2}{9\sigma^2|\lambda_0|} + \frac{|s_1s_2 - 9p|}{9}\sigma^2|\lambda_0| \\
&\quad - \frac{|s_1|^2|s_1s_2 - 9p||\lambda_0|}{81\sigma^2} - \frac{|s_1|^2|s_1s_2 - 9p|\sigma^2}{81|\lambda_0|} - \frac{|s_2|^2|s_1s_2 - 9p||\lambda_0|}{81\sigma^2} - \frac{|s_2|^2|s_1s_2 - 9p|\sigma^2}{81|\lambda_0|} \\
&\quad + \frac{|p|^2|s_1s_2 - 9p|}{9\sigma^2|\lambda_0|} - \frac{|s_1s_2 - 9p|^2}{81}\sigma^4 - \frac{|s_1s_2 - 9p|^2}{81\sigma^4} - \frac{|s_1s_2 - 9p|}{9}\sigma^2|\lambda_0| \Bigg] - 2|p|^2 - 2\frac{|s_1s_2|^2}{81} \\
&\quad + \frac{2s_1s_2\bar{p}}{9} + \frac{2\bar{s}_1\bar{s}_2p}{9} - 2|p|^2 + 2\frac{|s_1s_2 - 9p||\lambda_0|}{9\sigma^2} + 2\frac{|s_1s_2 - 9p||p|^2\sigma^2}{9|\lambda_0|} - \frac{|s_1s_2|^2|\lambda_0|^2}{81} - \frac{|s_1s_2|^2}{81|\lambda_0|^2} \\
&= -|\lambda_0|^2 + \frac{|s_1|^2}{9} + \frac{|s_2|^2}{9} + \frac{|\lambda_0|^2|s_1|^2}{9} - \frac{|s_1|^4}{81} + \frac{|s_1|^2|p|^2}{9|\lambda_0|^2} + \frac{|s_2|^2|\lambda_0|^2}{9} - \frac{|s_2|^4}{81} + \frac{|s_2|^2|p|^2}{9|\lambda_0|^2} \\
&\quad + \frac{|s_1|^2|p|^2}{9} + \frac{|s_2|^2|p|^2}{9} - \frac{|p|^4}{|\lambda_0|^2} - \frac{|s_1|^2|s_1s_2 - 9p|}{81}\sigma^2|\lambda_0| - \frac{|s_1|^2|s_1s_2 - 9p|}{81\sigma^2|\lambda_0|} \\
&\quad - \frac{|s_2|^2|s_1s_2 - 9p|}{81}\sigma^2|\lambda_0| - \frac{|s_2|^2|s_1s_2 - 9p|}{81\sigma^2|\lambda_0|} - \frac{|s_1s_2 - 9p||p|^2}{9\sigma^2|\lambda_0|} + \frac{|s_1s_2 - 9p|}{9}\sigma^2|\lambda_0| \\
&\quad - \frac{|s_1|^2|s_1s_2 - 9p||\lambda_0|}{81\sigma^2} - \frac{|s_1|^2|s_1s_2 - 9p|\sigma^2}{81|\lambda_0|} - \frac{|s_2|^2|s_1s_2 - 9p||\lambda_0|}{81\sigma^2} - \frac{|s_2|^2|s_1s_2 - 9p|\sigma^2}{81|\lambda_0|} \\
&\quad + \frac{|p|^2|s_1s_2 - 9p|}{9\sigma^2|\lambda_0|} - \frac{|s_1s_2 - 9p|^2}{81}\sigma^4 - \frac{|s_1s_2 - 9p|^2}{81\sigma^4} - \frac{|s_1s_2 - 9p|}{9}\sigma^2|\lambda_0| - \frac{|s_1s_2|^2|\lambda_0|^2}{81} \\
&\quad - \frac{|s_1s_2|^2}{81|\lambda_0|^2} - 2\frac{|s_1|^2|s_2|^2}{81} - 4|p|^2 + \frac{2s_1s_2\bar{p}}{9} + \frac{2\bar{s}_1\bar{s}_2p}{9} \\
&\quad + 2\frac{|\lambda_0||s_1s_2 - 9p|}{9\sigma^2} + 2\frac{|p|^2\sigma^2|s_1s_2 - 9p|}{9|\lambda_0|}.
\end{aligned}
$$

Therefore, L.H.S = R.H.S and the proof is complete.

References

[1] A. A. Abouhajar, M. C. White and N. J. Young, A Schwarz lemma for a domain related to μ-synthesis, *J. Geom. Anal.* 17 (2007), 717 – 750.

[2] J. Agler, Z. A. Lykova and N. J. Young, Extremal holomorphic maps and the symmetrized bidisc, *Proc. London Math. Soc.*, 106(4) (2013) 781-818.

[3] J. Agler, Z. A. Lykova and N. J. Young, A case of μ-synthesis as a quadratic semidefinite program, *SIAM Journal on Control and Optimization*, 2013, 51(3), 2472-2508.

[4] J. Agler and J. McCarthy, *Pick Interpolation and Hilbert Function Spaces*, Graduate studies in mathematics 44, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, R.I. 2002.

[5] J. Agler and N. J. Young, A commutant lifting theorem for a domain in $\mathbb{C}^2$ and spectral interpolation, *J. Funct. Anal.* 161 (1999), 452 – 477.

[6] J. Agler and N. J. Young, Operators having the symmetrized bidisc as a spectral set, *Proc. Edin. Math. Soc.* 43 (2000), 195 – 210.

[7] J. Agler and N. J. Young, A schwarz lemma for symmetrized bidisc, *Bull. London Math. Soc.*, 33 (2001), 175 – 186.

[8] J. Agler and N. J. Young, A model theory for Γ-contractions, *J. Operator Theory* 49 (2003), 45 – 60.

[9] J. Agler and N. J. Young, The hyperbolic geometry of the symmetrized bidisc, *J. Geom. Anal.* 14 (2004) 375–403.

[10] J. Agler and N. J. Young, The complex geodesics of the symmetrized bidisc, *Inter. J. of Mathematics* 17, no.4, (2006) 375–391.

[11] J. Agler and N. J. Young, The magic functions and automorphisms of a domain, *Complex Analysis and Operator Theory* **2** (2008) 383 – 404.

[12] G. Bharali, A family of domains associated with -synthesis, *Integral Equations Operator Theory*, 82 (2015), 267 - 285.

[13] H. Bercovici, Spectral versus classical Nevanlinna-Pick interpolation in dimension two, *Electronic Jour. Linear Alg.*, 10 (2003), 60 – 64.

[14] T. Bhattacharyya, S. Pal and S. Shyam Roy, Dilations of Γ-contractions by solving operator equations, *Adv. Math.* 230 (2012), 577 – 606.

[15] T. Bhattacharyya and S. Pal, A functional model for pure Γ-contractions, *J. Operator Thoery*, 71 (2014), 327 – 339.

[16] S. Biswas and S. Shyam Roy, Functional models for Γ_n-contractions and characterization of Γ_n-isometries, *J. Func. Anal.*, 266 (2014), 6224 – 6255.

[17] C. Costara, The symmetrized bidisc and Lempert's theorem, *Bull. London Math. Soc.* 36 (2004) 656–662.

[18] C. Costara, On the spectral Nevanlinna-Pick problem, *Studia Math.*, 170 (2005), 23–55.

[19] J. C. Doyle, Analysis of feedback systems with structured uncertainities, *IEE Proceedings D, Control Theory ad Applications*, 129 (1982), 242 – 250.

[20] A. Edigarian, W. Zwonek, Geometry of the symmetrized polydisc, *Arch. Math. (Basel)*, 84 (2005), 364 - 374.

[21] S. Gorai and J. Sarkar, Characterizations of symmetrized polydisc, To appear in *Indian Jour. Pure and Applied Math.*, available at *arXiv:1503.03473v1 [math.CV]* 11 Mar 2015.

[22] M. Jarnicki and P. Pflug, On automorphisms of the symmetrized bidisc, *Arch. Math.* (Basel) 83 (2004), no. 3, 264–266.

[23] L. Kosiński and W. Zwonek, Extremal holomorphic maps in special classes of domains, *Annals of the Scuola Normale Superiore di Pisa - Science Class*, doi: 10.2422/2036-2145.201401 003.

[24] L. Kosiński, W. Zwonek, Nevanlinna-Pick problem and uniqueness of left inverses in convex domains, symmetrized bidisc and tetrablock, *J. Geom. Anal.*, 26 (2016), 1863 - 1890.
[25] L. Lempert, Complex geometry in convex domains, *Proc. Intern. Cong. Math.*, Berkeley, CA (1986) 759–765.
[26] G. Misra, S. Shyam Roy and Genkai Zhang, Reproducing kernel for a class of weighted Bergman spaces on the symmetrized polydisc, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 141 (2013), 2361 – 2370.
[27] N. Nikolov, The symmetrized polydisc cannot be exhausted by domains biholomorphic to convex domains *Ann. Pol. Math.*, 88 (2006) , 279 – 283.
[28] N. Nikolov, P. Pflug, Pascal J. Thomas, W. Zwonek, Estimates of the Carathodory metric on the symmetrized polydisc, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.*, 341 (2008), 140 - 148.
[29] N. Nikolov, P. Pflug, W. Zwonek, The Lempert function of the symmetrized polydisc in higher dimensions is not a distance, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 135 (2007), 2921 - 2928.
[30] N. Nikolov, W. Zwonek, The Bergman kernel of the symmetrized polydisc in higher dimensions has zeros, *Arch. Math. (Basel)*, 87 (2006), 412 - 416.
[31] S. Pal, From Stinespring dilation to Sz.-Nagy dilation on the symmetrized bidisc and operator models, *New York Jour. Math.*, 20 (2014), 545 – 564.
[32] S. Pal and O. M. Shalit, Spectral sets and distinguished varieties in the symmetrized bidisc, *J. Funct. Anal.*, 266 (2014), 5779 – 5800.
[33] S. Pal, Rational dilation on the symmetrized tridisc: failure, success and unknown, *https://arxiv.org/abs/1610.00425.*
[34] S. Parrott, On a quotient norm and the Sz.-Nagy-Foias lifting theorem *J. Funct. Anal.*, 30 (1978), 311 – 328.
[35] P. Pflug, W. Zwonek, Exhausting domains of the symmetrized bidisc, *Ark. Mat.*, 50 (2012), 397 - 402.
[36] P. Pflug and W. Zwonek, Description of all complex geodesics in the symmetrised bidisc, *Bull. London Math. Soc.* 37 (2005) 575–584.
[37] P. Thomas, N. V. Trao, W. Zwonek, Green functions of the spectral ball and symmetrized polydisk, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.*, 377 (2011), 624 - 630.
[38] N. J. Young, An introduction to Hilbert space (Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1988).
[39] N. J. Young, Some analysable instances of μ-synthesis. *Mathematical methods in systems, optimization and control*, Editors: H. Dym, M. de Oliveira, M. Putinar, Operator Theory: Advances and Applications, Vol. 222, Springer, Basel, 2012, pp. 349–366.

(Sourav Pal) Mathematics Department, Indian Institute of Technology Bombay, Powai, Mumbai - 400076.
E-mail address: sourav@math.iitb.ac.in

(Samriddho Roy) Department of Mathematics, Indian Institute of Science, Banaglore 560 012
E-mail address: samriddhoroy@gmail.com